LUIGI BATTEZZATO

THE VIENNA EPIGRAMS PAPYRUS: ANOTHER FOOTNOTE

aus: Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik 204 (2017) 22–23

© Dr. Rudolf Habelt GmbH, Bonn
Francesca Maltomini, in her edition of P. Vindob. G. 40611 fr. (a) verso line 14, suggested the reading

πρὸς τὸν ἐμὸν μὲν [.].οντο φίλοι πόδες εἰ μ’ ἀνάγοιτε

‘Dear feet, if you should take me to my (beloved) . . .’

In alternative, she suggested μάλ’ ἄγοιτε, ‘with the object in the next verse’, a solution she judged to be ‘not impossible, but less likely’. Maltomini admitted that she could not find ‘any similar apostrophe to one’s own feet’ but observed that ‘apostrophes to other parts of the body are common (see e.g. Eur. Tr. 1178, Soph. Phil. 1004 and Ar. Th. 776 for the hands) and the sense seems satisfactory’.1

Patrick Finglass recently pointed out that ‘tragedy preserves three apostrophes from a speaker to his own foot (if not feet), all by Philoctetes, at Aesch. fr. 254 TrGF and Soph. Phil. 785, 1188–9, but these are from such a different context that they hardly count as parallels’.2

In fact we do have a series of other parallels from tragedy where characters directly address their feet. As in the epigram from the Vienna papyrus, these characters ask their feet to carry them to the place they want to go. Hecuba (in Eur. Hec. 170–2) orders her old foot to take her to the tent where Polyxena is lodged:

ὦ τλάμων ἅγησαί μοι πούς,

ἅγησαι ταὶ γηραιῶι

πρὸς τάνδ’ αὐλάν.

Hecuba again, in Eur. Tro. 1275–6, asks her feet to take her for the last time to Troy so that she can bid farewell to her city:

ἀλλ’, ὦ γεραιὲ πούς, ἑπίστευσον μόλις,

ὡς ἀπαύσαμες ἡ ταλαίπωρον πόλιν.

At the very end of the play (Eur. Tro. 1328–9) she asks again her trembling limbs (in fact a synonym for feet and legs) to guide her march:

ἰῶ ⟨ἰῶ⟩, τρομερὰ τρομερὰ

μέλεα, φέρετ’ ἐμὸν ἤξωνς.

Finally, the old servant exhorts himself to action at Eur. Ion 1041–2, again addressing his foot:

ἄγ’, ὦ γεραιὲ πούς, νεανιὰς γενοῦ

ἐγησίς, κεί μή τοί τρόχον πάρεστι σοι.

McClure 1995: 52–5 discusses these and other examples of ὦ with parts of the body and correctly notes that such addresses are used more frequently by female characters, or by characters of lower social status, stressing vulnerability.3

1 See Maltomini in Maehler, Parsons and Maltomini 2015: 126.
2 He observed that a ‘better comparison for the sentiment expressed in Maltomini’s reconstruction may be found in the words of Sophocles’ Electra to the Paeonagogus ὃ φίλοτατοι μὲν χεῖρες, ἤδεστον δ’ ἔχων | ποδών ὑπηρέτμω (El. 1357–8). In her delight Electra first apostrophises the hands into which she consigned the infant Orestes years ago (cf. 1348 ὅς οὖν ὁμοδ’ ὀθρῷ μ’ ἔδωκας ἐξ χεῖράς ποτε), before invoking the feet which did Orestes such service by conveying him to Phocis, and then accompanying him back home again.’ See Finglass 2016.
3 McClure 1995: 54: ‘This type of address represents the body, whether that of a woman or a child, as a source of affect and site of vulnerability; it is not surprising, therefore, that we find few of these examples applied to men.’ See also Dickey
Homeric characters and animals are ‘carried’ by their feet (Iliad 5.885 ἀλλὰ μὲ ὑπήνεικον τοῖχες πόδες, 6.514 τοὶ πόδες φέρον, 15.405 τὸν μὲν ἄρ’ ὡς εἰπόντα πόδες φέρον, 17.700 τὸν μὲν ἄκρυ χέοντα πόδες φέρον ἐκ πολέμου, Od. 15.555 τὸν δὲ ὥσκο προβιβῶντα πόδες φέρον), and Homer himself, according to Pseudo-Herodotus’ account On Homer’s Origins, Date, and Life, wished that his feet took him to a city inhabited by civilised human beings (Ps.-Hdt. Vit.Hom. 11):

αἶψα πόδες με φέροιεν ἐς αἰδοίων πόλιν ἀνδρῶν·
τῶν γὰρ καὶ θυμὸς πρόφρων καὶ μῆτις ἀρίστη.

The epigrammatist combined traditional literary features from epic and tragedy, two genres that had a huge impact on post-classical Greek literature. These parallels strengthen the case for Maltomini’s reconstruction, and suggest a possible link between female voice and self-address.
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