



Multi-strange baryon production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with ALICE[☆]

ALICE Collaboration

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 April 2012

Received in revised form 3 May 2012

Accepted 8 May 2012

Available online 11 May 2012

Editor: L. Rolandi

Keywords:

Multi-strange baryons

p_T spectra

Mid-rapidity

Proton–proton

LHC

ALICE

ABSTRACT

A measurement of the multi-strange Ξ^- and Ω^- baryons and their antiparticles by the ALICE experiment at the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC) is presented for inelastic proton–proton collisions at a centre-of-mass energy of 7 TeV. The transverse momentum (p_T) distributions were studied at mid-rapidity ($|y| < 0.5$) in the range of $0.6 < p_T < 8.5$ GeV/c for Ξ^- and $\bar{\Xi}^+$ baryons, and in the range of $0.8 < p_T < 5$ GeV/c for Ω^- and $\bar{\Omega}^+$. Baryons and antibaryons were measured as separate particles and we find that the baryon to antibaryon ratio of both particle species is consistent with unity over the entire range of the measurement. The statistical precision of the current data has allowed us to measure a difference between the mean p_T of Ξ^- ($\bar{\Xi}^+$) and Ω^- ($\bar{\Omega}^+$). Particle yields, mean p_T , and the spectra in the intermediate p_T range are not well described by the PYTHIA Perugia 2011 tune Monte Carlo event generator, which has been tuned to reproduce the early LHC data. The discrepancy is largest for Ω^- ($\bar{\Omega}^+$). This PYTHIA tune approaches the p_T spectra of Ξ^- and $\bar{\Xi}^+$ baryons below $p_T < 0.85$ GeV/c and describes the Ξ^- and $\bar{\Xi}^+$ spectra above $p_T > 6.0$ GeV/c. We also illustrate the difference between the experimental data and model by comparing the corresponding ratios of $(\Omega^- + \bar{\Omega}^+)/(\Xi^- + \bar{\Xi}^+)$ as a function of transverse mass.

© 2012 CERN. Published by Elsevier B.V. Open access under CC BY-NC-ND license.

1. Introduction

The multi-strange baryons, Ω^- (sss) and Ξ^- (dss), are particularly important in high energy particle and nuclear physics due to their dominant strange quark (s -quark) content. The initial state colliding projectiles contain no strange valence quark, therefore all particles with non-zero strangeness quantum number are created in the course of the collision. Moreover, the energy of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) and its high luminosity allow for an abundant production of strange hadrons. These two factors make multi-strange baryons a valuable probe in understanding particle production mechanisms in high energy collisions.

We present a measurement of Ω^- and $\bar{\Omega}^+$ baryon transverse momentum (p_T) spectra and yields in proton–proton (pp) collisions at a centre-of-mass energy (\sqrt{s}) of 7 TeV, a measurement of Ξ^- and $\bar{\Xi}^+$ yields and spectra at the same energy, and a comparison of these data to a recent pp event generator, PYTHIA Perugia 2011 central tune (P2011). The measurements were obtained using the ALICE experiment [1] at the LHC.

ALICE is a general purpose detector designed to study both pp and Pb–Pb collisions at TeV-scale energies. A six-layer silicon inner tracking system (ITS) and a large-volume time projection chamber (TPC) enable charged particle reconstruction with excellent momentum and spatial resolution in full azimuth down to p_T of 100 MeV/c.

2. Data sample and cascade reconstruction

Multi-strange baryons are studied in a sample of approximately 130 million minimum bias $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV pp events, collected during the 2010 data taking. The sample is corrected for trigger inefficiencies and biases to recover a normalized sample of inelastic (INEL) events, as described in [2]. The events are selected within 10 cm of the detector's centre along the beam direction, with vertex resolution in the transverse plane of a few hundred micrometres. The event vertex range is selected to maximize particle trajectory (track) reconstruction efficiency within the ITS and TPC volume.

Ξ^- and $\bar{\Xi}^+$ (Ξ^\pm), as well as Ω^- and $\bar{\Omega}^+$ (Ω^\pm) candidates are reconstructed at mid-rapidity ($|y| < 0.5$) via their characteristic weak decay topology, $\Xi^- (\bar{\Xi}^+) \rightarrow \Lambda (\bar{\Lambda}) + \pi^- (\pi^+)$, and $\Omega^- (\bar{\Omega}^+) \rightarrow \Lambda (\bar{\Lambda}) + K^- (K^+)$, as described in detail in [3]. The branching ratios for these decay channels are 67.8% for Ω^\pm baryons and 99.9% for Ξ^\pm . Charged particles, compatible with kaon, pion and proton hypotheses, are identified using their energy loss in the TPC. The topology of the Ω^- and Ξ^- weak decay is cascade-like and consists of a V-shaped decay of the daughter Λ baryon (Λ baryon hypothesis is identified as a “V0”) plus a negatively charged track (h^-). The same applies to antibaryons, however in that case the decay products are the $\bar{\Lambda}$ daughter particles and a positively charged track (h^+). In general, the acceptance and efficiency depend on both y and p_T . We chose the y interval such that our efficiency and acceptance depend only on p_T . Candidates are selected by placing restrictions on the topology of the

[☆] © CERN for the benefit of the ALICE Collaboration.

Table 1

Selection criteria parameters for V0 (Λ) and cascades (Ξ^\pm and Ω^\pm) presented in this Letter. If a criterion for Ξ^\pm and Ω^\pm finding differs, the criterion for Ω^\pm hypothesis is in parentheses. DCA stands for “distance of closest approach,” and PV for “primary event vertex.” The fiducial volume is defined by the coordinate of the decay vertex position, the transverse radius, R_{2D} . θ is the angle between the momentum vector of the reconstructed V0 or cascade, and the line segment bound by the decay and primary vertices. For cascades, the curvature of the particle's trajectory is neglected.

V0 finding criteria	
DCA (h^\pm to PV)	> 0.04 (0.03) cm
DCA (h^- to h^+)	< 1.6 standard deviations
Δ mass (m_{V0})	$1.110 < m_{V0} < 1.122$ GeV/ c^2
Fiducial volume (R_{2D})	$1.4 < R_{2D} < 100$ cm
V0 pointing angle	$\cos\theta_{V0} > 0.97$
Cascade finding criteria	
DCA (π^\pm (K^\pm) to PV)	> 0.05 cm
DCA (V0 to PV)	> 0.07 cm
DCA (π^\pm (K^\pm) to V0)	< 1.6 (1.0) cm
Fiducial volume (R_{2D})	0.8 (0.6) $< R_{2D} < 100$ cm
Cascade pointing angle	$\cos\theta_{casc} > 0.97$

decay. These have been optimized to obtain maximum mass signal significance and are listed in Table 1.

The resulting invariant mass distributions for both species hypotheses are shown in Fig. 1. The signal extraction method is described in detail in [3]. The signal is extracted using a bin-counting method and then corrected for detector efficiency and acceptance using PYTHIA Perugia 0 [4] generated Monte Carlo events propagated through ALICE using GEANT3 [5].

3. Systematic uncertainties

There are two types of systematic uncertainties in the resulting particle spectra: p_T -dependent systematic uncertainties that are due to the efficiency determination and the signal quality at a given p_T , and the p_T -independent uncertainties due to normalization and other factors explained below.

The point-to-point systematic uncertainties vary between 1–4% for Ξ^\pm , and 1–9% for Ω^\pm , with minimum uncertainty found at $p_T = 1.5$ –4.0 GeV/ c for both species. The p_T -independent systematic uncertainties stem from several sources and reflect the following:

- the uncertainty in determination of the material thickness traversed by the particles (material budget), 4%;

- the use of FLUKA [6,7] to correct [8] the antiproton absorption cross section in GEANT3 [5], 1%;
- the uncertainty in TPC particle identification via energy loss, 1.5%;
- the uncertainty on the track selection in the TPC, through the restriction on the number of TPC pad plane clusters used in particle reconstruction, 3%;
- in the case of Ω^\pm , the removal of cascades that fit the Ξ^\pm baryon hypothesis, 1%.

The limited p_T -coverage and determination of the total number of inelastic events used for yield normalization lead to an additional uncertainty in the particle yields and mean p_T ($\langle p_T \rangle$) values. The INEL normalization [2] leads to a +7.0% and –3.5% uncertainty on the yield for all measured particles, while the limited p_T coverage causes a 4.5% uncertainty on the $\langle p_T \rangle$ of all species, 5.5% uncertainty on the yield of Ξ^\pm baryons, and 6.5% on the yield of Ω^\pm . While the systematic uncertainties (both p_T -dependent and p_T -independent) associated with each spectrum point affect the determination of $\langle p_T \rangle$, the systematic uncertainty on the $\langle p_T \rangle$ for all species is dominated by the 4.5% error due to the limited p_T coverage. Similarly, the systematic uncertainty on the yields is dominated by the uncertainties due to low- p_T extrapolation and event normalization.

4. Results

4.1. Corrected p_T spectra and Tsallis fits

The corrected multi-strange baryon yields per p_T bin per unit rapidity ($1/N_{\text{INEL}} \times d^2N/dy dp_T$) are shown in Fig. 2(a). They span from $p_T = 0.6$ to $p_T = 8.5$ GeV/ c in the case of Ξ^- and Ξ^+ baryons and from $p_T = 0.8$ to $p_T = 5$ GeV/ c for Ω^- and Ω^+ baryons. The Tsallis function is used for fitting the spectra, as the measured p_T range covers both soft-physics and fragmentation particle production regions. The functional form is shown below:

$$\frac{d^2N}{dy dp_T} = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{nT[nT + m_0(n-2)]} \times \frac{dN}{dy} \times p_T \times \left(1 + \frac{m_T - m_0}{nT}\right)^{-n}$$

where T , n , and dN/dy (dN/dy representing the particle yield per unit rapidity) are fit parameters, $m_T = \sqrt{m_0^2 + p_T^2}$, and m_0 denotes the particle mass.

The function is grounded in Tsallis statistics [9]; it approximates an exponential component (represented by the T parameter), as well as a power-law dependence for the high- p_T tail. In Table 2,




Fig. 1. The invariant mass distributions of Ξ^- (a) and Ω^- (b) baryon candidates (solid histograms) and their antiparticles (dashed histograms). Also marked (in shaded blocks) background sampling regions used in the signal extraction. The entire measured p_T range is presented.




Fig. 2. (a) Ξ^- and Ω^- baryon (solid circles and squares, respectively) and their antiparticle (open symbols) spectra, shown with Tsallis fits. (b) Experimental data to Monte Carlo (PYTHIA Perugia 2011) comparison. The errors are added in quadrature. The normalization uncertainty is shown as a black band.

we list the fit results for each particle and antiparticle and the corresponding extrapolated dN/dy and $\langle p_T \rangle$.

The central values of the fit parameters, listed in Table 2, are obtained using the statistical error only. The low- p_T extrapolation of the yield from the Tsallis fit is $\sim 23\%$ for Ξ^\pm and $\sim 26\%$ for Ω^\pm . The value of $\langle p_T \rangle$ for each particle was computed using the fit over the entire p_T range including the extrapolation. The antiparticle to particle ratios were found to be compatible with unity at all p_T .

4.2. Excitation functions

Our measurements of multi-strange baryons can be placed within the broader context of existing pp collision data. We compare to multi-strange baryon yields in pp collisions measured by the STAR Collaboration at $\sqrt{s} = 0.2$ TeV [10], and also to the data obtained by ALICE and CMS at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV [3,11]. There are also data from $p\bar{p}$ collisions, obtained by the CDF [12] and UA5 [13] Collaborations. We omit the comparison to these data due to a significantly different kinematic range of the experiments. For STAR, ALICE, and CMS data, an increase in dN/dy as a function of collision energy is observed, presented in Fig. 3(a). We note that the CMS Collaboration used non-single-diffractive events (NSD) to normalize the yield, while in ALICE a normalization to the inelastic events (INEL) was used. For a direct comparison at LHC energies, the INEL Ξ^\pm yield has to be scaled up by 26% to get the yield normalized to NSD events [2]. After scaling, the Ξ^\pm yields per unit of rapidity obtained by ALICE agree with those published by CMS [11]. For Ξ^- baryons and antibaryons, we also observe a slight rise in mean p_T with collision energy, as seen in Fig. 3(b). The




Fig. 3. (a) dN/dy and (b) $\langle p_T \rangle$ of Ξ^\pm and Ω^\pm as a function of collision energy. The STAR and CMS data are normalized to NSD (see text) events, STAR Ξ^\pm and Ω^\pm are represented by open rhombuses and stars, respectively. CMS Ξ^\pm measurements are shown as open triangles, and ALICE Ξ^\pm and Ω^\pm as filled circles and squares. Multi-strange baryons produced using PYTHIA Perugia 2011 simulation (Ξ^\pm baryons as a long-dashed curve and Ω^\pm baryons as a dashed curve) are plotted for reference. The uncertainties are added in quadrature.

$\langle p_T \rangle$ of Ω^\pm baryons at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV is consistent with 0.2 TeV data, where Ω^\pm and Ξ^\pm (p_T) were consistent within large experimental error. Due to the precision of the current measurements, a significant separation between the $\langle p_T \rangle$ of Ω^\pm and Ξ^\pm is observed in $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV pp collisions.

4.3. $(\Omega^- + \bar{\Omega}^+)/(\Xi^- + \bar{\Xi}^+)$ ratio

The composition of Ξ^- and Ω^- baryons differs only by one valence quark flavour: the d -quark in Ξ^- is replaced by the s -quark in Ω^- . To investigate possible differences in the production mechanism of multi-strange baryons with and without the non-strange quark, we study the ratio of $(\Omega^- + \bar{\Omega}^+)$ to $(\Xi^- + \bar{\Xi}^+)$ baryons as a function of p_T . The dependence on particle mass is reduced by constructing spectra as a function of $(m_T - m_0)$ for each baryon species. To increase the statistical significance of the measurement, for this ratio, the particle and antiparticle spectra are combined. The ratio of the combined spectra, $(\Omega^- + \bar{\Omega}^+)/(\Xi^- + \bar{\Xi}^+)$, is shown in Fig. 4. We observe an increase in the ratio up to $(m_T - m_0) \sim 1.5$ GeV (which corresponds roughly to p_T of 3 GeV/c for either of the baryons), with a possible slope change at a higher $(m_T - m_0)$. The ratio was composed to investigate the possible sat-

Table 2

Tsallis fit parameters, yields, and $\langle p_T \rangle$ for each particle species at $|y| < 0.5$, as well as yields and $\langle p_T \rangle$ extracted from PYTHIA Perugia 2011 [4] simulations. Statistical and then systematic uncertainties for the experimental values are listed. Sufficient statistics were generated to have less than 1% error on all model values.

Particle	T (MeV)	n	χ^2/NDF	$dN/dy \times 10^3$ data	$\langle p_T \rangle$ (GeV/c) data	$dN/dy \times 10^3$ P2011	$\langle p_T \rangle$ (GeV/c) P2011
Ξ^-	$344 \pm 5 \pm 10$	$10.8 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.8$	17.4/15	$8.0 \pm 0.1^{+0.7}_{-0.5}$	$1.21 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.06$	5.38	1.02
$\bar{\Xi}^+$	$339 \pm 5 \pm 9$	$10.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.5$	14.4/15	$7.8 \pm 0.1^{+0.7}_{-0.5}$	$1.21 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.06$	5.21	1.02
Ω^-	$460 \pm 40 \pm 60$	$20 \pm 9 \pm 8$	8.8/5	$0.67 \pm 0.03^{+0.08}_{-0.07}$	$1.47 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.09$	0.276	1.14
$\bar{\Omega}^+$	$430 \pm 30 \pm 40$	$14 \pm 5 \pm 6$	7.0/5	$0.68 \pm 0.03^{+0.08}_{-0.06}$	$1.44 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.08$	0.266	1.16




Fig. 4. $(\Omega^- + \bar{\Omega}^+) / (\Xi^- + \bar{\Xi}^+)$ ratio in $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV pp events as a function of $(m_T - m_0)$. Experimental data (closed symbols: data points; dashed curve: ratio of Tsallis fits), and PYTHIA Perugia 2011 simulation (solid curve). Errors on experimental points were added in quadrature.

uration of the s -quark production with respect to production of the non-strange quarks, which would be indicated by the flattening of the $(\Omega^- + \bar{\Omega}^+) / (\Xi^- + \bar{\Xi}^+)$ ratio. We found that the currently available data does not allow for a firm conclusion.

4.4. Comparisons to PYTHIA Perugia 2011

The production of strangeness in pp collisions is not well described by the currently available models. In particular, we compare the obtained data to particle spectra from PYTHIA [14], an event generator based on the leading order (LO) perturbative Quantum Chromo-Dynamics (pQCD). PYTHIA is available in different tunes, for example those listed in [4], each reflecting a distinct aspect of particle production inferred from experimental data. Several tunes were tested, among them PYTHIA Z1, Z2 [15], and Perugia 0 [4] tunes. These tunes were several times to an order of magnitude below the measured multi-strange spectra and yields (up to a factor 4 for Ξ^\pm , 15 for Ω^\pm) and thus were abandoned.

In this Letter, we use the central PYTHIA Perugia 2011 (P2011), one of the more recent PYTHIA 6.4 tunes, which describes the 7 TeV pp charged particle spectra reasonably well. P2011 is tuned to the multiplicity and charged particle p_T distributions from 2010 LHC data (as were other tunes tested), utilizes the CTEQ5L parton distribution function, and differs from other PYTHIA tunes by a significant increase in multi-strange baryon yields. This is achieved mainly by removing the baryon suppression inherently present in the built-in ‘‘pop corn’’ meson creation mechanism, but also by tuning the relative u and d vs. s -quark production rates, and adjusting the suppression of the diquark–antiquark hadron production scale [4]. The ‘‘pop corn’’ mechanism, used to describe the hadron production in e^+e^- collisions via chromoelectric flux tubes [16], suppresses baryon production by favouring soft quark–antiquark pairing into mesons [17]. The mechanism was removed in order to approximate more closely the multi-strange yields from LEP experiments [4].

Although the charged-particle multiplicities are reasonably described, as mentioned above, PYTHIA tunes tend to be several times to an order of magnitude below measured multi-strange values. P2011 significantly underestimates multi-strange particle yields, as seen in Table 2, and does not reproduce the spectral shapes of either Ξ^- or Ω^- baryons, with two exceptions. The

model describes the high p_T tail of the Ξ^\pm distribution and approaches the Ξ^\pm distribution below $p_T < 0.85$ GeV/c, as shown in Fig. 2(b).

P2011 also underpredicts $\langle p_T \rangle$ of multi-strange baryons at all energies (Fig. 3(b)), and incorrectly models the increase in dN/dy as a function of centre-of-mass energy (Fig. 3(a)). Indeed, in experimental data dN/dy increases by nearly a factor of three from $\sqrt{s} = 0.2$ TeV collisions to those at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV (factor 35 increase in energy), while P2011 predicts a more modest gain. In both experimental data and P2011, a power-law increase of Ξ^- baryon yield is seen as a function of \sqrt{s} . Moreover, P2011 does not reproduce the relative Ω^\pm / Ξ^\pm spectral shape, nor the absolute value, although the ratio does increase with increased p_T , as shown in Fig. 4.

5. Conclusions

Our precise measurements of Ξ^- , $\bar{\Xi}^+$, Ω^- , and $\bar{\Omega}^+$ in $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV pp collisions are a benchmark for improving future modelling efforts, including valuable checks on possible hadron production mechanisms, such as the flux-tube mechanism. In addition, the p_T reach of the data to model comparison is the highest ever achieved for multi-strange baryons. The relative production of doubly-strange vs. triply-strange baryons introduces a further constraint on the p_T dependence of particle production from flavour-differentiated quarks. These considerations may enable a better insight into pp collision dynamics, which in turn will serve as a reference for better understanding of fundamental interactions underlying particle creation mechanisms in pp collisions.

Acknowledgements

The ALICE Collaboration would like to thank all its engineers and technicians for their invaluable contributions to the construction of the experiment and the CERN accelerator teams for the outstanding performance of the LHC complex.

The ALICE Collaboration acknowledges the following funding agencies for their support in building and running the ALICE detector:

Calouste Gulbenkian Foundation from Lisbon and Swiss Fonds Kidagan, Armenia;

Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos (FINEP), Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP);

National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC), the Chinese Ministry of Education (CMOE) and the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (MSTC);

Ministry of Education and Youth of the Czech Republic;

Danish Natural Science Research Council, the Carlsberg Foundation and the Danish National Research Foundation;

The European Research Council under the European Community’s Seventh Framework Programme;

Helsinki Institute of Physics and the Academy of Finland;

French CNRS-IN2P3, the ‘Region Pays de Loire’, ‘Region Alsace’, ‘Region Auvergne’ and CEA, France;

German BMBF and the Helmholtz Association;

General Secretariat for Research and Technology, Ministry of Development, Greece;

Hungarian OTKA and National Office for Research and Technology (NKTH);

Department of Atomic Energy and Department of Science and Technology of the Government of India;

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN) of Italy;

MEXT Grant-in-Aid for Specially Promoted Research, Japan;

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna;

National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF);
 CONACYT, DGAPA, México, ALFA-EC and the HELEN Program
 (High-Energy Physics Latin-American–European Network);
 Stichting voor Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie (FOM)
 and the Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek
 (NWO), Netherlands;
 Research Council of Norway (NFR);
 Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education;
 National Authority for Scientific Research – NASR (Autoritatea
 Națională pentru Cercetare Științifică – ANCS);
 Federal Agency of Science of the Ministry of Education and Science
 of Russian Federation, International Science and Technology
 Center, Russian Academy of Sciences, Russian Federal Agency of
 Atomic Energy, Russian Federal Agency for Science and Innovations
 and CERN-INTAS;
 Ministry of Education of Slovakia;
 Department of Science and Technology, South Africa;
 CIEMAT, EELA, Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia of Spain,
 Xunta de Galicia (Consellería de Educación), CEADEN, Cubaenergía,
 Cuba, and IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency);
 Swedish Research Council (VR) and Knut & Alice Wallenberg
 Foundation (KAW);
 Ukraine Ministry of Education and Science;
 United Kingdom Science and Technology Facilities Council
 (STFC);
 The United States Department of Energy, the United States National
 Science Foundation, the State of Texas, and the State of Ohio.

Open access

This article is published Open Access at [sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com). It is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution

License 3.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original authors and source are credited.

References

- [1] K. Aamodt, et al., ALICE Collaboration, JINST 3 (2008) S08002, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08002>.
- [2] K. Aamodt, et al., ALICE Collaboration, Measurement of inelastic, single-, and double-diffraction cross sections in proton–proton collisions at LHC with ALICE, in preparation.
- [3] K. Aamodt, et al., ALICE Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 71 (2011) 1594, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1594-5>.
- [4] P.Z. Skands, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 074018, arXiv:1005.3457v4, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.074018>.
- [5] R. Brun, F. Carminati, S. Giani, GEANT detector description and simulation tool, CERN-W5013.
- [6] G. Battistoni, et al., AIP Conf. Proc. 896 (2007) 31, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2720455>.
- [7] A. Ferrari, P.R. Sala, A. Fasso, J. Ranft, FLUKA: A multi-particle transport code (Program version 2005), CERN-2005-010.
- [8] K. Aamodt, et al., ALICE Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 072002, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.072002>.
- [9] C. Tsallis, J. Stat. Phys. 52 (1988) 479, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01016429>.
- [10] B.I. Abelev, et al., STAR Collaboration, Phys. Rev. C 75 (2007) 064901, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.75.064901>.
- [11] V. Khachatryan, et al., CMS Collaboration, JHEP 1105 (2011) 064, arXiv:1102.4282, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05\(2011\)064](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2011)064).
- [12] T. Aaltonen, et al., CDF Collaboration, Production of Λ , Ξ and Ω hyperons in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, arXiv:1101.2996.
- [13] R.E. Ansorge, et al., UA5 Collaboration, Nucl. Phys. B 328 (1989) 36, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(89\)90090-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(89)90090-4).
- [14] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna, P.Z. Skands, JHEP 0605 (2006) 026, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/026>.
- [15] R. Field, Acta Phys. Polon. B 42 (2011) 2631, arXiv:1110.5530, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5506/APhysPolB.42.2631>.
- [16] H.N.A. Casher, S. Nussinov, Phys. Rev. D 20 (1979) 179.
- [17] S.B. Chun, C.D. Buchanan, Phys. Lett. B 308 (1993) 153.

ALICE Collaboration

B. Abelev^{68,*}, J. Adam³³, D. Adamová⁷³, A.M. Adare¹²⁰, M.M. Aggarwal⁷⁷, G. Aglieri Rinella²⁹, A.G. Agocs⁶⁰, A. Agostinelli²¹, S. Aguilar Salazar⁵⁶, Z. Ahammed¹¹⁶, N. Ahmad¹³, A. Ahmad Masoodi¹³, S.U. Ahn^{63,36}, A. Akindinov⁴⁶, D. Aleksandrov⁸⁸, B. Alessandro⁹⁴, R. Alfaro Molina⁵⁶, A. Alici^{97,9}, A. Alkin², E. Almaráz Aviña⁵⁶, J. Alme³¹, T. Alt³⁵, V. Altini²⁷, S. Altinpinar¹⁴, I. Altsybeev¹¹⁷, C. Andrei⁷⁰, A. Andronic⁸⁵, V. Anguelov⁸², J. Anielski⁵⁴, C. Anson¹⁵, T. Antičić⁸⁶, F. Antinori⁹³, P. Antonioli⁹⁷, L. Aphecetche¹⁰², H. Appelshäuser⁵², N. Arbor⁶⁴, S. Arcelli²¹, A. Arend⁵², N. Armesto¹², R. Arnaldi⁹⁴, T. Aronsson¹²⁰, I.C. Arsene⁸⁵, M. Arslandok⁵², A. Asryan¹¹⁷, A. Augustinus²⁹, R. Averbeck⁸⁵, T.C. Awes⁷⁴, J. Äystö³⁷, M.D. Azmi¹³, M. Bach³⁵, A. Badalà⁹⁹, Y.W. Baek^{63,36}, R. Bailhache⁵², R. Bala⁹⁴, R. Baldini Ferroli⁹, A. Baldisseri¹¹, A. Baldit⁶³, F. Baltasar Dos Santos Pedrosa²⁹, J. Bán⁴⁷, R.C. Baral⁴⁸, R. Barbera²³, F. Barile²⁷, G.G. Barnaföldi⁶⁰, L.S. Barnby⁹⁰, V. Barret⁶³, J. Bartke¹⁰⁴, M. Basile²¹, N. Bastid⁶³, S. Basu¹¹⁶, B. Bathen⁵⁴, G. Batigne¹⁰², B. Batyunya⁵⁹, C. Baumann⁵², I.G. Bearden⁷¹, H. Beck⁵², I. Belikov⁵⁸, F. Bellini²¹, R. Bellwied¹¹⁰, E. Belmont-Moreno⁵⁶, G. Bencedi⁶⁰, S. Beole²⁵, I. Berceanu⁷⁰, A. Bercuci⁷⁰, Y. Berdnikov⁷⁵, D. Berenyi⁶⁰, D. Berzano⁹⁴, L. Betev²⁹, A. Bhasin⁸⁰, A.K. Bhati⁷⁷, J. Bhom¹¹⁴, N. Bianchi⁶⁵, L. Bianchi²⁵, C. Bianchin¹⁹, J. Bielčík³³, J. Bielčíková⁷³, A. Bilandzic^{72,71}, S. Bjelogrić⁴⁵, F. Blanco¹¹⁰, F. Blanco⁷, D. Blau⁸⁸, C. Blume⁵², M. Boccioni²⁹, N. Bock¹⁵, S. Böttger⁵¹, A. Bogdanov⁶⁹, H. Bøggild⁷¹, M. Bogolyubsky⁴³, L. Boldizsár⁶⁰, M. Bombara³⁴, J. Book⁵², H. Borel¹¹, A. Borissov¹¹⁹, S. Bose⁸⁹, F. Bossú²⁵, M. Botje⁷², B. Boyer⁴², E. Braidot⁶⁷, P. Braun-Munzinger⁸⁵, M. Bregant¹⁰², T. Breitner⁵¹, T.A. Browning⁸³, M. Broz³², R. Brun²⁹, E. Bruna^{25,94}, G.E. Bruno²⁷, D. Budnikov⁸⁷, H. Buesching⁵², S. Bufalino^{25,94}, K. Bugaiev², O. Busch⁸², Z. Buthelezi⁷⁹, D. Caballero Orduna¹²⁰, D. Caffarri¹⁹, X. Cai³⁹, H. Caines¹²⁰, E. Calvo Villar⁹¹, P. Camerini²⁰, V. Canoa Roman^{8,1}, G. Cara Romeo⁹⁷, W. Carena²⁹, F. Carena²⁹, N. Carlin Filho¹⁰⁷, F. Carminati²⁹, C.A. Carrillo Montoya²⁹, A. Casanova Díaz⁶⁵, J. Castillo Castellanos¹¹, J.F. Castillo Hernandez⁸⁵, E.A.R. Casula¹⁸, V. Catanescu⁷⁰, C. Cavicchioli²⁹,

C. Ceballos Sanchez⁶, J. Cepila³³, P. Cerello⁹⁴, B. Chang^{37,123}, S. Chapeland²⁹, J.L. Charvet¹¹, S. Chattopadhyay¹¹⁶, S. Chattopadhyay⁸⁹, I. Chawla⁷⁷, M. Cherney⁷⁶, C. Cheshkov^{29,109}, B. Cheynis¹⁰⁹, V. Chibante Barroso²⁹, D.D. Chinellato¹⁰⁸, P. Chochula²⁹, M. Chojnacki⁴⁵, S. Choudhury¹¹⁶, P. Christakoglou^{72,45}, C.H. Christensen⁷¹, P. Christiansen²⁸, T. Chujo¹¹⁴, S.U. Chung⁸⁴, C. Cicalo⁹⁶, L. Cifarelli^{21,29}, F. Cindolo⁹⁷, J. Cleymans⁷⁹, F. Coccetti⁹, F. Colamaria²⁷, D. Colella²⁷, G. Conesa Balbastre⁶⁴, Z. Conesa del Valle²⁹, P. Constantin⁸², G. Contin²⁰, J.G. Contreras⁸, T.M. Cormier¹¹⁹, Y. Corrales Morales²⁵, P. Cortese²⁶, I. Cortés Maldonado¹, M.R. Cosentino^{67,108}, F. Costa²⁹, M.E. Cotallo⁷, E. Crescio⁸, P. Crochet⁶³, E. Cruz Alaniz⁵⁶, E. Cuautle⁵⁵, L. Cunqueiro⁶⁵, A. Dainese^{19,93}, H.H. Dalsgaard⁷¹, A. Danu⁵⁰, I. Das^{89,42}, K. Das⁸⁹, D. Das⁸⁹, A. Dash¹⁰⁸, S. Dash⁴⁰, S. De¹¹⁶, G.O.V. de Barros¹⁰⁷, A. De Caro^{24,9}, G. de Cataldo⁹⁸, J. de Cuveland³⁵, A. De Falco¹⁸, D. De Gruttola²⁴, H. Delagrangé¹⁰², A. Deloff¹⁰⁰, V. Demanov⁸⁷, N. De Marco⁹⁴, E. Dénes⁶⁰, S. De Pasquale²⁴, A. Deppman¹⁰⁷, G. D'Erasmus²⁷, R. de Rooij⁴⁵, M.A. Diaz Corchero⁷, D. Di Bari²⁷, T. Dietel⁵⁴, S. Di Liberto⁹⁵, A. Di Mauro²⁹, P. Di Nezza⁶⁵, R. Divià²⁹, Ø. Djuvsland¹⁴, A. Dobrin^{119,28}, T. Dobrowolski¹⁰⁰, I. Domínguez⁵⁵, B. Dönigus⁸⁵, O. Dordic¹⁷, O. Driga¹⁰², A.K. Dubey¹¹⁶, L. Ducroux¹⁰⁹, P. Dupieux⁶³, A.K. Dutta Majumdar⁸⁹, M.R. Dutta Majumdar¹¹⁶, D. Elia⁹⁸, D. Emschermann⁵⁴, H. Engel⁵¹, H.A. Erdal³¹, B. Espagnon⁴², M. Estienne¹⁰², S. Esumi¹¹⁴, D. Evans⁹⁰, G. Eyyubova¹⁷, D. Fabris^{19,93}, J. Faivre⁶⁴, D. Falchieri²¹, A. Fantoni⁶⁵, M. Fasel⁸⁵, R. Fearick⁷⁹, A. Fedunov⁵⁹, D. Fehlker¹⁴, L. Feldkamp⁵⁴, D. Felea⁵⁰, B. Fenton-Olsen⁶⁷, G. Feofilov¹¹⁷, A. Fernández Téllez¹, R. Ferretti²⁶, A. Ferretti²⁵, J. Figiel¹⁰⁴, M.A.S. Figueredo¹⁰⁷, S. Filchagin⁸⁷, D. Finogeev⁴⁴, F.M. Fionda²⁷, E.M. Fiore²⁷, M. Floris²⁹, S. Foertsch⁷⁹, P. Foka⁸⁵, S. Fokin⁸⁸, E. Fragiaco⁹², U. Frankenfeld⁸⁵, U. Fuchs²⁹, C. Furget⁶⁴, M. Fusco Girard²⁴, J.J. Gaardhøje⁷¹, M. Gagliardi²⁵, A. Gago⁹¹, M. Gallio²⁵, D.R. Gangadharan¹⁵, P. Ganoti⁷⁴, C. Garabatos⁸⁵, E. Garcia-Solis¹⁰, I. Garishvili⁶⁸, J. Gerhard³⁵, M. Germain¹⁰², C. Geuna¹¹, A. Gheata²⁹, M. Gheata^{50,29}, B. Ghidini²⁷, P. Ghosh¹¹⁶, P. Gianotti⁶⁵, M.R. Girard¹¹⁸, P. Giubellino²⁹, E. Gladysz-Dziadus¹⁰⁴, P. Glässel⁸², R. Gomez¹⁰⁶, E.G. Ferreira¹², L.H. González-Trueba⁵⁶, P. González-Zamora⁷, S. Gorbunov³⁵, A. Goswami⁸¹, S. Gotovac¹⁰³, V. Grabski⁵⁶, L.K. Graczykowski¹¹⁸, R. Grajcarek⁸², A. Grelli⁴⁵, A. Grigoras²⁹, C. Grigoras²⁹, V. Grigoriev⁶⁹, A. Grigoryan¹²¹, S. Grigoryan⁵⁹, B. Grinyov², N. Grion⁹², P. Gros²⁸, J.F. Grosse-Oetringhaus²⁹, J.-Y. Grossiord¹⁰⁹, R. Grosso²⁹, F. Guber⁴⁴, R. Guernane⁶⁴, C. Guerra Gutierrez⁹¹, B. Guerzoni²¹, M. Guilbaud¹⁰⁹, K. Gulbrandsen⁷¹, T. Gunji¹¹³, A. Gupta⁸⁰, R. Gupta⁸⁰, H. Gutbrod⁸⁵, Ø. Haaland¹⁴, C. Hadjidakis⁴², M. Haiduc⁵⁰, H. Hamagaki¹¹³, G. Hamar⁶⁰, B.H. Han¹⁶, L.D. Hanratty⁹⁰, A. Hansen⁷¹, Z. Harmanova³⁴, J.W. Harris¹²⁰, M. Hartig⁵², D. Hasegan⁵⁰, D. Hatzifotiadiou⁹⁷, A. Hayrapetyan^{29,121}, S.T. Heckel⁵², M. Heide⁵⁴, H. Helstrup³¹, A. Herghelegiu⁷⁰, G. Herrera Corral⁸, N. Herrmann⁸², K.F. Hetland³¹, B. Hicks¹²⁰, P.T. Hille¹²⁰, B. Hippolyte⁵⁸, T. Horaguchi¹¹⁴, Y. Hori¹¹³, P. Hristov²⁹, I. Hřivnáčová⁴², M. Huang¹⁴, T.J. Humanic¹⁵, D.S. Hwang¹⁶, R. Ichou⁶³, R. Ilkaev⁸⁷, I. Ilkiv¹⁰⁰, M. Inaba¹¹⁴, E. Incani¹⁸, G.M. Innocenti²⁵, P.G. Innocenti²⁹, M. Ippolitov⁸⁸, M. Irfan¹³, C. Ivan⁸⁵, A. Ivanov¹¹⁷, V. Ivanov⁷⁵, M. Ivanov⁸⁵, O. Ivanytskyi², A. Jachołkowski²⁹, P.M. Jacobs⁶⁷, L. Jancurová⁵⁹, H.J. Jang⁶², S. Jangal⁵⁸, R. Janik³², M.A. Janik¹¹⁸, P.H.S.Y. Jayarathna¹¹⁰, S. Jena⁴⁰, D.M. Jha¹¹⁹, R.T. Jimenez Bustamante⁵⁵, L. Jirdeh²⁹, P.G. Jones⁹⁰, H. Jung³⁶, A. Jusko⁹⁰, A.B. Kaidalov⁴⁶, V. Kakoyan¹²¹, S. Kalcher³⁵, P. Kaliňák⁴⁷, T. Kalliokoski³⁷, A. Kalweit⁵³, K. Kanaki¹⁴, J.H. Kang¹²³, V. Kaplin⁶⁹, A. Karasu Uysal^{29,122}, O. Karavichev⁴⁴, T. Karavicheva⁴⁴, E. Karpechev⁴⁴, A. Kazantsev⁸⁸, U. Keschull⁵¹, R. Keidel¹²⁴, P. Khan⁸⁹, M.M. Khan¹³, S.A. Khan¹¹⁶, A. Khanzadeev⁷⁵, Y. Kharlov⁴³, B. Kileng³¹, T. Kim¹²³, D.J. Kim³⁷, D.W. Kim³⁶, J.H. Kim¹⁶, J.S. Kim³⁶, M. Kim³⁶, M. Kim¹²³, S.H. Kim³⁶, S. Kim¹⁶, B. Kim¹²³, S. Kirsch³⁵, I. Kisel³⁵, S. Kiselev⁴⁶, A. Kisiel^{29,118}, J.L. Klay⁴, J. Klein⁸², C. Klein-Bösing⁵⁴, M. Kliemant⁵², A. Kluge²⁹, M.L. Knichel⁸⁵, A.G. Knospe¹⁰⁵, K. Koch⁸², M.K. Köhler⁸⁵, A. Kolojvari¹¹⁷, V. Kondratiev¹¹⁷, N. Kondratyeva⁶⁹, A. Konevskikh⁴⁴, A. Korneev⁸⁷, R. Kour⁹⁰, M. Kowalski¹⁰⁴, S. Kox⁶⁴, G. Koyithatta Meethalevedu⁴⁰, J. Kral³⁷, I. Králik⁴⁷, F. Kramer⁵², I. Kraus⁸⁵, T. Krawutschke^{82,30}, M. Krelina³³, M. Kretz³⁵, M. Krivda^{90,47}, F. Krizek³⁷, M. Krus³³, E. Kryshen⁷⁵, M. Krzewicki⁸⁵, Y. Kucheriaev⁸⁸, C. Kuhn⁵⁸, P.G. Kuijper⁷², I. Kulakov⁵², P. Kurashvili¹⁰⁰, A. Kurepin⁴⁴, A.B. Kurepin⁴⁴, A. Kuryakin⁸⁷, S. Kushpil⁷³, V. Kushpil⁷³, H. Kvaerno¹⁷, M.J. Kweon⁸², Y. Kwon¹²³, P. Ladrón de Guevara⁵⁵, I. Lakomov⁴², R. Langoy¹⁴, S.L. La Pointe⁴⁵, C. Lara⁵¹, A. Lardeux¹⁰², P. La Rocca²³, C. Lazzeroni⁹⁰, R. Lea²⁰, Y. Le Bornec⁴², M. Lechman²⁹, S.C. Lee³⁶, G.R. Lee⁹⁰, K.S. Lee³⁶, F. Lefèvre¹⁰², J. Lehnert⁵²,

L. Leistam²⁹, M. Lenhardt¹⁰², V. Lenti⁹⁸, H. León⁵⁶, I. León Monzón¹⁰⁶, H. León Vargas⁵², P. Lévai⁶⁰,
 J. Lien¹⁴, R. Lietava⁹⁰, S. Lindal¹⁷, V. Lindenstruth³⁵, C. Lippmann^{85,29}, M.A. Lisa¹⁵, L. Liu¹⁴,
 P.I. Loenne¹⁴, V.R. Loggins¹¹⁹, V. Loginov⁶⁹, S. Lohn²⁹, D. Lohner⁸², C. Loizides⁶⁷, K.K. Loo³⁷,
 X. Lopez⁶³, E. López Torres⁶, G. Løvholden¹⁷, X.-G. Lu⁸², P. Luettig⁵², M. Lunardon¹⁹, J. Luo³⁹,
 G. Luparello⁴⁵, L. Luquin¹⁰², C. Luzzi²⁹, K. Ma³⁹, R. Ma¹²⁰, D.M. Madagodahettige-Don¹¹⁰,
 A. Maevskaya⁴⁴, M. Mager^{53,29}, D.P. Mahapatra⁴⁸, A. Maire^{58,82}, M. Malaev⁷⁵,
 I. Maldonado Cervantes⁵⁵, L. Malinina^{59,i,ii}, D. Mal'Kevich⁴⁶, P. Malzacher⁸⁵, A. Mamonov⁸⁷,
 L. Manceau⁹⁴, L. Mangotra⁸⁰, V. Manko⁸⁸, F. Manso⁶³, V. Manzari⁹⁸, Y. Mao³⁹, M. Marchisone^{63,25},
 J. Mareš⁴⁹, G.V. Margagliotti^{20,92}, A. Margotti⁹⁷, A. Marín⁸⁵, C.A. Marin Tobon²⁹, C. Markert¹⁰⁵,
 I. Martashvili¹¹², P. Martinengo²⁹, M.I. Martínez¹, A. Martínez Davalos⁵⁶, G. Martínez García¹⁰²,
 Y. Martynov², A. Mas¹⁰², S. Masciocchi⁸⁵, M. Masera²⁵, A. Masoni⁹⁶, L. Massacrier^{109,102},
 M. Mastromarco⁹⁸, A. Mastroserio^{27,29}, Z.L. Matthews⁹⁰, A. Matyja^{104,102}, D. Mayani⁵⁵, C. Mayer¹⁰⁴,
 J. Mazer¹¹², M.A. Mazzoni⁹⁵, F. Meddi²², A. Menchaca-Rocha⁵⁶, J. Mercado Pérez⁸², M. Meres³²,
 Y. Miake¹¹⁴, L. Milano²⁵, J. Milosevic^{17,i,iii}, A. Mischke⁴⁵, A.N. Mishra⁸¹, D. Miśkowiec^{85,29}, C. Mitu⁵⁰,
 J. Mlynarz¹¹⁹, A.K. Mohanty²⁹, B. Mohanty¹¹⁶, L. Molnar²⁹, L. Montaño Zetina⁸, M. Monteno⁹⁴,
 E. Montes⁷, T. Moon¹²³, M. Morando¹⁹, D.A. Moreira De Godoy¹⁰⁷, S. Moretto¹⁹, A. Morsch²⁹,
 V. Muccifora⁶⁵, E. Mudnic¹⁰³, S. Muhuri¹¹⁶, M. Mukherjee¹¹⁶, H. Müller²⁹, M.G. Munhoz¹⁰⁷, L. Musa²⁹,
 A. Musso⁹⁴, B.K. Nandi⁴⁰, R. Nania⁹⁷, E. Nappi⁹⁸, C. Nattrass¹¹², N.P. Naumov⁸⁷, S. Navin⁹⁰,
 T.K. Nayak¹¹⁶, S. Nazarenko⁸⁷, G. Nazarov⁸⁷, A. Nedosekin⁴⁶, B.S. Nielsen⁷¹, T. Niida¹¹⁴, S. Nikolaev⁸⁸,
 V. Nikolic⁸⁶, V. Nikulin⁷⁵, S. Nikulin⁸⁸, B.S. Nilsen⁷⁶, M.S. Nilsson¹⁷, F. Noferini^{97,9}, P. Nomokonov⁵⁹,
 G. Nooren⁴⁵, N. Novitzky³⁷, A. Nyanin⁸⁸, A. Nyatha⁴⁰, C. Nygaard⁷¹, J. Nystrand¹⁴, A. Ochirov¹¹⁷,
 H. Oeschler^{53,29}, S.K. Oh³⁶, S. Oh¹²⁰, J. Oleniacz¹¹⁸, C. Oppedisano⁹⁴, A. Ortiz Velasquez^{28,55},
 G. Ortona²⁵, A. Oskarsson²⁸, P. Ostrowski¹¹⁸, J. Otwinowski⁸⁵, K. Oyama⁸², K. Ozawa¹¹³,
 Y. Pachmayer⁸², M. Pachr³³, F. Padilla²⁵, P. Pagano²⁴, G. Paic⁵⁵, F. Painke³⁵, C. Pajares¹², S.K. Pal¹¹⁶,
 S. Pal¹¹, A. Palaha⁹⁰, A. Palmeri⁹⁹, V. Papikyan¹²¹, G.S. Pappalardo⁹⁹, W.J. Park⁸⁵, A. Passfeld⁵⁴,
 B. Pastirčák⁴⁷, D.I. Patalakha⁴³, V. Paticchio⁹⁸, A. Pavlinov¹¹⁹, T. Pawlak¹¹⁸, T. Peitzmann⁴⁵,
 H. Pereira Da Costa¹¹, E. Pereira De Oliveira Filho¹⁰⁷, D. Peresunko⁸⁸, C.E. Pérez Lara⁷²,
 E. Perez Lezama⁵⁵, D. Perini²⁹, D. Perrino²⁷, W. Peryt¹¹⁸, A. Pesci⁹⁷, V. Peskov^{29,55}, Y. Pestov³,
 V. Petráček³³, M. Petran³³, M. Petris⁷⁰, P. Petrov⁹⁰, M. Petrovici⁷⁰, C. Petta²³, S. Piano⁹², A. Piccotti⁹⁴,
 M. Pikna³², P. Pillot¹⁰², O. Pinazza²⁹, L. Pinsky¹¹⁰, N. Pitz⁵², D.B. Piyarathna¹¹⁰, M. Płoskoń⁶⁷,
 J. Pluta¹¹⁸, T. Pocheptsov⁵⁹, S. Pochybova⁶⁰, P.L.M. Podesta-Lerma¹⁰⁶, M.G. Poghosyan^{29,25}, K. Polák⁴⁹,
 B. Polichtchouk⁴³, A. Pop⁷⁰, S. Porteboeuf-Houssais⁶³, V. Pospíšil³³, B. Potukuchi⁸⁰, S.K. Prasad¹¹⁹,
 R. Preghenella^{97,9}, F. Prino⁹⁴, C.A. Pruneau¹¹⁹, I. Pshenichnov⁴⁴, S. Puchagin⁸⁷, G. Puddu¹⁸,
 J. Pujol Teixido⁵¹, A. Pulvirenti^{23,29}, V. Punin⁸⁷, M. Putiš³⁴, J. Putschke^{119,120}, E. Quercigh²⁹,
 H. Qvigstad¹⁷, A. Rachevski⁹², A. Rademakers²⁹, S. Radomski⁸², T.S. Rähä³⁷, J. Rak³⁷,
 A. Rakotozafindrabe¹¹, L. Ramello²⁶, A. Ramírez Reyes⁸, S. Raniwala⁸¹, R. Raniwala⁸¹, S.S. Räsänen³⁷,
 B.T. Rascanu⁵², D. Rathee⁷⁷, K.F. Read¹¹², J.S. Real⁶⁴, K. Redlich^{100,57}, P. Reichelt⁵², M. Reicher⁴⁵,
 R. Renfordt⁵², A.R. Reolon⁶⁵, A. Reshetin⁴⁴, F. Rettig³⁵, J.-P. Revol²⁹, K. Reygers⁸², L. Riccati⁹⁴,
 R.A. Ricci⁶⁶, T. Richert²⁸, M. Richter¹⁷, P. Riedler²⁹, W. Riegler²⁹, F. Riggi^{23,99},
 B. Rodrigues Fernandes Rabacal²⁹, M. Rodríguez Cahuantzi¹, A. Rodríguez Manso⁷², K. Røed¹⁴,
 D. Rohr³⁵, D. Röhrich¹⁴, R. Romita⁸⁵, F. Ronchetti⁶⁵, P. Rosnet⁶³, S. Rossegger²⁹, A. Rossi^{29,19}, P. Roy⁸⁹,
 C. Roy⁵⁸, A.J. Rubio Montero⁷, R. Rui²⁰, E. Ryabinkin⁸⁸, A. Rybicki¹⁰⁴, S. Sadovsky⁴³, K. Šafařík²⁹,
 R. Sahoo⁴¹, P.K. Sahu⁴⁸, J. Saini¹¹⁶, H. Sakaguchi³⁸, S. Sakai⁶⁷, D. Sakata¹¹⁴, C.A. Salgado¹²,
 J. Salzwedel¹⁵, S. Sambyal⁸⁰, V. Samsonov⁷⁵, X. Sanchez Castro^{55,58}, L. Šándor⁴⁷, A. Sandoval⁵⁶,
 S. Sano¹¹³, M. Sano¹¹⁴, R. Santo⁵⁴, R. Santoro^{98,29}, J. Sarkamo³⁷, E. Scapparone⁹⁷, F. Scarlassara¹⁹,
 R.P. Scharenberg⁸³, C. Schiaua⁷⁰, R. Schicker⁸², C. Schmidt⁸⁵, H.R. Schmidt¹¹⁵, S. Schreiner²⁹,
 S. Schuchmann⁵², J. Schukraft²⁹, Y. Schutz^{29,102}, K. Schwarz⁸⁵, K. Schweda^{85,82}, G. Scioli²¹,
 E. Scomparin⁹⁴, R. Scott¹¹², P.A. Scott⁹⁰, G. Segato¹⁹, I. Selyuzhenkov⁸⁵, S. Senyukov^{26,58}, J. Seo⁸⁴,
 S. Serici¹⁸, E. Serradilla^{7,56}, A. Sevcenco⁵⁰, A. Shabetai¹⁰², G. Shabratova⁵⁹, R. Shahoyan²⁹, S. Sharma⁸⁰,
 N. Sharma⁷⁷, S. Rohni⁸⁰, K. Shigaki³⁸, M. Shimomura¹¹⁴, K. Shtejer⁶, Y. Sibiriyak⁸⁸, M. Siciliano²⁵,
 E. Sickling²⁹, S. Siddhanta⁹⁶, T. Siemiarczuk¹⁰⁰, D. Silvermyr⁷⁴, C. Silvestre⁶⁴, G. Simatovic^{55,86},
 G. Simonetti²⁹, R. Singaraju¹¹⁶, R. Singh⁸⁰, S. Singha¹¹⁶, T. Sinha⁸⁹, B.C. Sinha¹¹⁶, B. Sitar³², M. Sitta²⁶,

T.B. Skaali¹⁷, K. Skjerdal¹⁴, R. Smakal³³, N. Smirnov¹²⁰, R.J.M. Snellings⁴⁵, C. Søgaard⁷¹, R. Soltz⁶⁸, H. Son¹⁶, J. Song⁸⁴, M. Song¹²³, C. Soos²⁹, F. Soramel¹⁹, I. Sputowska¹⁰⁴, M. Spyropoulou-Stassinaki⁷⁸, B.K. Srivastava⁸³, J. Stachel⁸², I. Stan⁵⁰, I. Stan⁵⁰, G. Stefanek¹⁰⁰, T. Steinbeck³⁵, M. Steinpreis¹⁵, E. Stenlund²⁸, G. Steyn⁷⁹, J.H. Stiller⁸², D. Stocco¹⁰², M. Stolpovskiy⁴³, K. Strabykin⁸⁷, P. Strmen³², A.A.P. Suaide¹⁰⁷, M.A. Subieta Vásquez²⁵, T. Sugitate³⁸, C. Suire⁴², M. Sukhorukov⁸⁷, R. Sultanov⁴⁶, M. Šumbera⁷³, T. Susa⁸⁶, A. Szanto de Toledo¹⁰⁷, I. Szarka³², A. Szczepankiewicz¹⁰⁴, A. Szostak¹⁴, M. Szymanski¹¹⁸, J. Takahashi¹⁰⁸, J.D. Tapia Takaki⁴², A. Tauro²⁹, G. Tejeda Muñoz¹, A. Telesca²⁹, C. Terrevoli²⁷, J. Thäder⁸⁵, D. Thomas⁴⁵, R. Tieulent¹⁰⁹, A.R. Timmins¹¹⁰, D. Tlusty³³, A. Toia^{35,29}, H. Torii¹¹³, L. Toscano⁹⁴, D. Truesdale¹⁵, W.H. Trzaska³⁷, T. Tsuji¹¹³, A. Tumkin⁸⁷, R. Turrisi⁹³, T.S. Tveter¹⁷, J. Ulery⁵², K. Ullaland¹⁴, J. Ulrich^{61,51}, A. Uras¹⁰⁹, J. Urbán³⁴, G.M. Urciuoli⁹⁵, G.L. Usai¹⁸, M. Vajzer^{33,73}, M. Vala^{59,47}, L. Valencia Palomo⁴², S. Vallero⁸², N. van der Kolk⁷², P. Vande Vyvre²⁹, M. van Leeuwen⁴⁵, L. Vannucci⁶⁶, A. Vargas¹, R. Varma⁴⁰, M. Vasileiou⁷⁸, A. Vasiliev⁸⁸, V. Vechernin¹¹⁷, M. Veldhoen⁴⁵, M. Venaruzzo²⁰, E. Vercellin²⁵, S. Vergara¹, R. Vernet⁵, M. Verweij⁴⁵, L. Vickovic¹⁰³, G. Viesti¹⁹, O. Vikhlyantsev⁸⁷, Z. Vilakazi⁷⁹, O. Villalobos Baillie⁹⁰, A. Vinogradov⁸⁸, Y. Vinogradov⁸⁷, L. Vinogradov¹¹⁷, T. Virgili²⁴, Y.P. Viyogi¹¹⁶, A. Vodopyanov⁵⁹, S. Voloshin¹¹⁹, K. Voloshin⁴⁶, G. Volpe^{27,29}, B. von Haller²⁹, D. Vranic⁸⁵, G. Øvrebekk¹⁴, J. Vrláková³⁴, B. Vulpescu⁶³, A. Vyushin⁸⁷, B. Wagner¹⁴, V. Wagner³³, R. Wan^{58,39}, Y. Wang³⁹, D. Wang³⁹, M. Wang³⁹, Y. Wang⁸², K. Watanabe¹¹⁴, M. Weber¹¹⁰, J.P. Wessels^{29,54}, U. Westerhoff⁵⁴, J. Wiechula¹¹⁵, J. Wikne¹⁷, M. Wilde⁵⁴, G. Wilk¹⁰⁰, A. Wilk⁵⁴, M.C.S. Williams⁹⁷, B. Windelband⁸², L. Xaplanteris Karampatsos¹⁰⁵, C.G. Yaldo¹¹⁹, Y. Yamaguchi¹¹³, S. Yang¹⁴, H. Yang¹¹, S. Yasnopolskiy⁸⁸, J. Yi⁸⁴, Z. Yin³⁹, I.-K. Yoo⁸⁴, J. Yoon¹²³, W. Yu⁵², X. Yuan³⁹, I. Yushmanov⁸⁸, C. Zach³³, C. Zampolli⁹⁷, S. Zaporozhets⁵⁹, A. Zarochentsev¹¹⁷, P. Závada⁴⁹, N. Zaviyalov⁸⁷, H. Zbroszczyk¹¹⁸, P. Zelnicek⁵¹, I.S. Zgura⁵⁰, M. Zhalov⁷⁵, X. Zhang^{63,39}, H. Zhang³⁹, Y. Zhou⁴⁵, D. Zhou³⁹, F. Zhou³⁹, X. Zhu³⁹, J. Zhu³⁹, J. Zhu³⁹, A. Zichichi^{21,9}, A. Zimmermann⁸², G. Zinovjev², Y. Zoccarato¹⁰⁹, M. Zynovyev², M. Zyzak⁵²

¹ Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

² Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kiev, Ukraine

³ Budker Institute for Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia

⁴ California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, CA, United States

⁵ Centre de Calcul de l'IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France

⁶ Centro de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas y Desarrollo Nuclear (CEADEN), Havana, Cuba

⁷ Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

⁸ Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados (CINVESTAV), Mexico City and Mérida, Mexico

⁹ Centro Fermi – Centro Studi e Ricerche e Museo Storico della Fisica “Enrico Fermi”, Rome, Italy

¹⁰ Chicago State University, Chicago, United States

¹¹ Commissariat à l’Energie Atomique, IRFU, Saclay, France

¹² Departamento de Física de Partículas and IGFAE, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain

¹³ Department of Physics Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India

¹⁴ Department of Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

¹⁵ Department of Physics, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, United States

¹⁶ Department of Physics, Sejong University, Seoul, South Korea

¹⁷ Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

¹⁸ Dipartimento di Fisica dell’Università and Sezione INFN, Cagliari, Italy

¹⁹ Dipartimento di Fisica dell’Università and Sezione INFN, Padova, Italy

²⁰ Dipartimento di Fisica dell’Università and Sezione INFN, Trieste, Italy

²¹ Dipartimento di Fisica dell’Università and Sezione INFN, Bologna, Italy

²² Dipartimento di Fisica dell’Università ‘La Sapienza’ and Sezione INFN, Rome, Italy

²³ Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell’Università and Sezione INFN, Catania, Italy

²⁴ Dipartimento di Fisica ‘E.R. Caianiello’ dell’Università and Gruppo Collegato INFN, Salerno, Italy

²⁵ Dipartimento di Fisica Sperimentale dell’Università and Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy

²⁶ Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Avanzate dell’Università del Piemonte Orientale and Gruppo Collegato INFN, Alessandria, Italy

²⁷ Dipartimento Interateneo di Fisica ‘M. Merlin’ and Sezione INFN, Bari, Italy

²⁸ Division of Experimental High Energy Physics, University of Lund, Lund, Sweden

²⁹ European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland

³⁰ Fachhochschule Köln, Köln, Germany

³¹ Faculty of Engineering, Bergen University College, Bergen, Norway

³² Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia

³³ Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic

³⁴ Faculty of Science, P.J. Šafárik University, Košice, Slovakia

³⁵ Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany

³⁶ Gangneung-Wonju National University, Gangneung, South Korea

³⁷ Helsinki Institute of Physics (HIP) and University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland

³⁸ Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan

³⁹ Hua-Zhong Normal University, Wuhan, China

⁴⁰ Indian Institute of Technology, Mumbai, India

⁴¹ Indian Institute of Technology Indore (IIT), Indore, India

⁴² Institut de Physique Nucléaire d’Orsay (IPNO), Université Paris-Sud, CNRS-IN2P3, Orsay, France

- 43 Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia
 44 Institute for Nuclear Research, Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
 45 Nikhef, National Institute for Subatomic Physics and Institute for Subatomic Physics of Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands
 46 Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
 47 Institute of Experimental Physics, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Košice, Slovakia
 48 Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India
 49 Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic
 50 Institute of Space Sciences (ISS), Bucharest, Romania
 51 Institut für Informatik, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany
 52 Institut für Kernphysik, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany
 53 Institut für Kernphysik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Darmstadt, Germany
 54 Institut für Kernphysik, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Münster, Germany
 55 Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico
 56 Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico
 57 Institut of Theoretical Physics, University of Wrocław, Poland
 58 Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien (IPHC), Université de Strasbourg, CNRS-IN2P3, Strasbourg, France
 59 Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR), Dubna, Russia
 60 KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary
 61 Kirchoff-Institut für Physik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany
 62 Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information, Daejeon, South Korea
 63 Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire (LPC), Clermont Université, Université Blaise Pascal, CNRS-IN2P3, Clermont-Ferrand, France
 64 Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie (LPSC), Université Joseph Fourier, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut Polytechnique de Grenoble, Grenoble, France
 65 Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, INFN, Frascati, Italy
 66 Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, INFN, Legnaro, Italy
 67 Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, United States
 68 Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, United States
 69 Moscow Engineering Physics Institute, Moscow, Russia
 70 National Institute for Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest, Romania
 71 Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
 72 Nikhef, National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, Netherlands
 73 Nuclear Physics Institute, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Řež u Prahy, Czech Republic
 74 Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, United States
 75 Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, Russia
 76 Physics Department, Creighton University, Omaha, NE, United States
 77 Physics Department, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India
 78 Physics Department, University of Athens, Athens, Greece
 79 Physics Department, University of Cape Town, iThemba LABS, Cape Town, South Africa
 80 Physics Department, University of Jammu, Jammu, India
 81 Physics Department, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India
 82 Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany
 83 Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, United States
 84 Pusan National University, Pusan, South Korea
 85 Research Division and ExtreMe Matter Institute EMMI, GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung, Darmstadt, Germany
 86 Rudjer Bošković Institute, Zagreb, Croatia
 87 Russian Federal Nuclear Center (VNIIEF), Sarov, Russia
 88 Russian Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia
 89 Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India
 90 School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom
 91 Sección Física, Departamento de Ciencias, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Lima, Peru
 92 Sezione INFN, Trieste, Italy
 93 Sezione INFN, Padova, Italy
 94 Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy
 95 Sezione INFN, Rome, Italy
 96 Sezione INFN, Cagliari, Italy
 97 Sezione INFN, Bologna, Italy
 98 Sezione INFN, Bari, Italy
 99 Sezione INFN, Catania, Italy
 100 Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies, Warsaw, Poland
 101 Nuclear Physics Group, STFC Daresbury Laboratory, Daresbury, United Kingdom
 102 SUBATECH, Ecole des Mines de Nantes, Université de Nantes, CNRS-IN2P3, Nantes, France
 103 Technical University of Split FESB, Split, Croatia
 104 The Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Cracow, Poland
 105 The University of Texas at Austin, Physics Department, Austin, TX, United States
 106 Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, Culiacán, Mexico
 107 Universidade de São Paulo (USP), São Paulo, Brazil
 108 Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, Brazil
 109 Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, CNRS/IN2P3, IPN-Lyon, Villeurbanne, France
 110 University of Houston, Houston, TX, United States
 111 University of Technology and Austrian Academy of Sciences, Vienna, Austria
 112 University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, United States
 113 University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
 114 University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan
 115 Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany
 116 Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre, Kolkata, India
 117 V. Fock Institute for Physics, St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia
 118 Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland
 119 Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, United States
 120 Yale University, New Haven, CT, United States
 121 Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

¹²² *Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey*

¹²³ *Yonsei University, Seoul, South Korea*

¹²⁴ *Zentrum für Technologietransfer und Telekommunikation (ZTT), Fachhochschule Worms, Worms, Germany*

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: betty.abelev@aya.yale.edu (B. Abelev).

ⁱ Deceased.

ⁱⁱ Also at: M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, D.V. Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow, Russia.

ⁱⁱⁱ Also at: “Vinča” Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia.