

Strong-coupling results in (non-)conformal $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories with fundamental flavors

M. Billò ^{a,b}, A. Lerda ^{c,b} and A. Testa ^d

^a*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Torino,
Via P. Giuria 1, I-10125 Torino, Italy*

^b*INFN, Sezione di Torino,
Via P. Giuria 1, I-10125 Torino, Italy*

^c*Dipartimento di Scienze e Innovazione Tecnologica, Università del Piemonte Orientale,
Viale T. Michel 11, I-15121 Alessandria, Italy*

^d*Institut de Physique Théorique, Unité Mixte de Recherche 3681 du CNRS,
Université Paris Saclay, CNRS,
91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France*

E-mail: marco.billo@unito.it, lerda@to.infn.it, alessandro.testa@ipht.fr

ABSTRACT: We study a class of four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $SU(N)$ gauge theories with two massless hypermultiplets in the rank-two antisymmetric representation and $0 \leq N_F \leq 4$ fundamental flavors. These theories are superconformal for $N_F = 4$ and asymptotically free otherwise. Supersymmetric localization on the four-sphere applies in both cases and leads to matrix-model representations for a broad class of protected observables. Within this framework, we compute the free energy and the expectation value of the $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS circular Wilson loop in the large- N limit. For any N_F , we show that the infinite series of non-planar corrections at large 't Hooft coupling λ can be resummed in terms of an effective coupling $\tilde{\lambda}$. In the non-conformal window ($0 \leq N_F < 4$), this provides a first example in which localization leads to explicit results in the planar limit at strong 't Hooft coupling. In the superconformal case ($N_F = 4$), the large- N expansion in $\tilde{\lambda}$ suggests a refined AdS/CFT dictionary in which $\tilde{\lambda}$, rather than λ , plays the role of the bulk string coupling.

KEYWORDS: $1/N$ Expansion, Extended Supersymmetry, Matrix Models, Supersymmetric Gauge Theory

ARXIV EPRINT: [2605.16521](https://arxiv.org/abs/2605.16521)

Contents

1	Introduction and summary of results	1
1.1	Outline and summary of results	3
2	Matrix-model techniques for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SYM theories	6
2.1	Special classes of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories	8
2.2	The interaction action	9
2.3	The partition function	10
3	The free energy and the Wilson loop in the $\hat{\mathbf{D}}$-theory at large N	12
3.1	The free energy	12
3.2	The circular Wilson loop	14
4	The free energy at strong coupling	16
4.1	Leading terms	16
4.2	Subleading terms	20
5	The circular Wilson loop at strong coupling	22
6	Conclusions and outlook	26
A	Gaussian correlators	27
B	Strong-coupling expansions	28
B.1	Derivation of (4.5)	28
B.2	Derivation of (4.6)	29
B.3	Derivation of (4.12)	33

1 Introduction and summary of results

One of the central challenges in current theoretical physics is to develop analytic control over strongly coupled quantum field theories. A particularly fertile arena to address this problem is provided by four-dimensional gauge theories with extended supersymmetry, where powerful non-perturbative tools are available.

Among these, holographic AdS/CFT duality [1] and supersymmetric localization [2] play a pivotal role and often complement each other. On the one hand, localization can extrapolate strong-coupling predictions which can be compared directly with holographic results. This approach is especially valuable when the holographic answer is not completely fixed, as is the case, for example, of integrated correlators [3] whose exact expression obtained via localization can be used to impose non-trivial constraints on holographic amplitudes. On the other hand, holography can shed new light on the reorganization of the gauge-theory degrees of freedom at strong coupling.

It is therefore of clear interest to enlarge the class of theories where both tools can be simultaneously exploited. On the holographic side, the paradigmatic example of gauge/gravity duality relates $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills (SYM) theory to Type IIB string theory on $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ [4]. However, dual descriptions are also available for theories with reduced supersymmetry and even for non-conformal models. On the other hand, localization requires at least $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry, but not conformal invariance [5], since it is naturally formulated on compact manifolds such as (possibly squashed) four-spheres S^4 . For superconformal setups, the conformal equivalence between S^4 and $\mathbb{R}^4$ naturally relates localization results to flat space, thereby justifying why this technique has so far been applied mostly to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal models.

When conformal symmetry is explicitly broken by mass deformations, localization still reproduces correctly perturbative field-theory computations on S^4 , but no longer agrees with flat-space results [6]. A more subtle and interesting situation arises when conformal symmetry is broken at the quantum level by a non-vanishing β -function, while the matter content remains massless. In this case, the matrix model obtained by localization on a sphere of radius R still captures *part* of the physical information for some flat-space observables within a specific regime of validity, provided that R is identified with their characteristic physical scale and the matrix-model coupling is interpreted as the running coupling g evaluated at that scale. This correspondence has been explicitly verified up to order g^6 for the vacuum expectation value of the $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS Wilson loop [7–9] and for chiral/anti-chiral correlators [10, 11].

Matrix-model computations are typically much simpler than their quantum field theory counterparts. Moreover, in several superconformal cases, reorganizing these matrix models in a large- N 't Hooft expansion makes it possible to probe the strong-coupling regime [12, 13]. This is precisely where comparisons with holography are most natural, since the large- N expansion corresponds to the topological world-sheet expansion on the dual side. It is therefore important to identify non-conformal theories for which localization still provides access to strong-coupling regimes and a holographic description may plausibly exist.

In this paper we focus on a specific class of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SYM theories with gauge group $\text{SU}(N)$ and matter consisting of two hypermultiplets in the antisymmetric representation and N_F hypermultiplets in the fundamental. The one-loop β -function is proportional to $b_0 = 4 - N_F$, so the theory is superconformal for $N_F = 4$ and asymptotically free for $N_F < 4$. These theories admit a Type IIB string realization as a system of N D3-branes in the presence of an O7-plane and four D7-branes [14–17].

In the conformal case, often referred to as the **D**-theory [18], all four D7-branes sit on top of the O7-plane and the D3-branes. The antisymmetric matter hypermultiplets arise from massless D3/D3 open strings affected by the orientifold projection, while the fundamental hypermultiplets come from massless D3/D7 strings, yielding $N_F = 4$ and hence $b_0 = 0$. The resulting $\text{U}(4)$ flavor symmetry originates from the Chan-Paton factors of the D7-branes. Since the dilaton sources of the O7-plane and the D7-branes cancel exactly, the dilaton remains constant. In the near-horizon limit of the D3-branes, the holographic dual geometry is given by Type IIB string theory on $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$, modded out by a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold/orientifold action [19]. The fixed locus of the orbifold action is $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^1$ and supports the twisted

sector; the fixed point of the orientifold action is instead $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^3$ and supports the O7 plane and the four D7 branes.

The asymptotically free case with $N_F < 4$, which we refer to as $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}$ -theory, may be engineered by displacing $b_0 = 4 - N_F$ of the D7-branes away from the O7-plane and the D3-branes along their common transverse directions. As a result, b_0 of the fundamental hypermultiplets acquire a mass M inversely proportional to the brane separation. At energy scales much lower than M , these massive fields decouple, leaving an effective theory with N_F massless fundamentals. While the total dilaton source still vanishes globally, it no longer cancels locally: within a region of size $1/M$, the axio-dilaton develops a logarithmic profile proportional to b_0 in the transverse directions, reflecting the running of the gauge coupling. Since b_0 does not scale with N , it is tempting to expect that in the large- N limit these theories admit a holographic description corresponding to a controlled deformation of the conformal background.

In this paper, by significantly extending the analysis of [20], we show that both the $\mathbf{D}$ - and $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}$ -theories can be studied efficiently using supersymmetric localization. In both cases the resulting matrix model contains double-trace and single-trace interactions. Remarkably, the double-trace sector coincides exactly with that of the matrix model associated with the superconformal $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory known as $\mathbf{E}$ -theory [18], whose matter transforms in the direct sum of a symmetric and an antisymmetric representation of the gauge group.

The $\mathbf{E}$ -theory matrix model has been extensively studied [13, 21–28]; in particular, its free energy and the vacuum expectation value of the $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS Wilson loop have been recently analyzed in the large- N limit and at strong 't Hooft coupling λ in [28]. A key ingredient of that analysis, also central to the present work, is a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation that introduces gauge-invariant dynamical variables K_j dual to single-trace operators of odd dimension. The resulting effective description for the K_j 's admits a cumulant expansion whose vertices are connected multi-trace correlators in the Gaussian matrix model.

Such connected Gaussian correlators have been considered in both the physics and mathematics literature (see [28] and references therein). They depend on the parity of the trace powers and admit a systematic topological expansion in powers of $1/N$, with coefficients given by quasi-polynomials in the trace orders. Determining these quasi-polynomials explicitly is a highly non-trivial combinatorial problem, and only partial results are currently available.¹ In [28] this approach was used to study the large- N strong-coupling regime of the $\mathbf{E}$ -theory; here we show that the same framework can be adapted successfully to the $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}$ -theory, which includes the conformal $\mathbf{D}$ -theory as a particular case.

1.1 Outline and summary of results

In section 2 we present the matrix-model description of the $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}$ -theory and show that it can be reformulated as an effective model for a set of gauge-invariant variables K_j . The interaction vertices of this effective theory are determined by multi-trace correlators in a free Gaussian matrix model. The quasi-polynomial structure of these correlators makes it possible to efficiently analyze their dependence on N and on the 't Hooft coupling λ , and applies equally well to conformal and non-conformal cases.

¹In appendix A we extend the existing results by deriving new cases relevant for the present analysis.

In section 3 we focus on two observables of the $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}$ -theory: the free energy $F_{\widehat{\mathbf{D}}}$ and the vacuum expectation value of a $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS Wilson loop $\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathbf{D}}}$. Exploiting the properties of the Gaussian correlators, we derive their large- N expansion. The strong-coupling behavior of these observables at each order in $1/N$ is then analyzed in sections 4 and 5 using Mellin-Barnes techniques. In particular, we show that in the regime

$$\begin{cases} 1 \ll \lambda \ll N & \text{if } b_0 = 0, \\ 1 \ll \lambda \ll \lambda \log \lambda \ll N & \text{if } b_0 \neq 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

the leading large- λ contributions, which originate from the single-trace interactions of the matrix model, can be resummed to all orders in $1/N$. As a result, both observables can be expressed in terms of an effective rescaled coupling $\tilde{\lambda}$ defined by

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda}{1 + \frac{\log 2}{2\pi^2 N} \lambda} & \text{if } b_0 = 0, \\ \frac{\lambda}{1 + \frac{b_0}{16\pi^2 N} \lambda \log \lambda} & \text{if } b_0 \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.2a)$$

$$\quad (1.2b)$$

The condition $g^2 = \lambda/N \ll 1$ implied by (1.1) is precisely the regime in which, based on the results of [7–11], the matrix-model description on S^4 remains reliable even when the β -function is non-vanishing.

The non-conformal case. When $b_0 \neq 0$, we show that at leading order in the strong-coupling expansion the free energy and the Wilson loop expectation value behave as

$$F_{\widehat{\mathbf{D}}} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -N^2 \frac{\log \tilde{\lambda}}{2} + \dots \quad (1.3)$$

$$\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathbf{D}}} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{2 I_1(\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}})}{\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}} + \dots = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{e^{\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}}}{\tilde{\lambda}^{3/4}} \left(1 - \frac{3}{8} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}} + \dots \right) + \dots \quad (1.4)$$

where I_ν denotes the modified Bessel function of the first kind and $\tilde{\lambda}$ is given in (1.2b). These expressions are valid up to exponentially suppressed terms $O(e^{-\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}})$, and coincide with the planar limit of the free energy and the Wilson loop expectation value in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM once written in terms of the rescaled coupling $\tilde{\lambda}$. Despite their compact form, these results are new and highly non-trivial, since they arise from an all-order resummation of the single-trace contributions in the matrix model of the $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}$ -theory, as shown explicitly in sections 4.1 and 5.

The conformal case. For $b_0 = 0$, the rescaling (1.2a), which can also be viewed as a finite renormalization of the Yang-Mills coupling g^2 , coincides with the one proposed in [29, 30] for the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Sp(2N) theory with one antisymmetric and 4 fundamental hypermultiplets. The same rescaling (1.2a) has also appeared recently in studies of the modular properties of integrated correlators [31] and of the Bremsstrahlung function in the $\mathbf{D}$ -theory [32]. In this case, the strong-coupling analysis can be extended in a systematic way to several subleading orders and all contributions arising from single-trace interactions can be resummed in terms

of the rescaled coupling (1.2a). In section 4.2 we explicitly carry out this analysis for the free energy of the **D**-theory and obtain

$$F_{\mathbf{D}} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -N^2 \frac{\log \tilde{\lambda}}{2} - N \frac{\log \tilde{\lambda}}{4} + \left(\frac{\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}}{8} + \frac{3 \log \tilde{\lambda}}{32} \right) + \frac{1}{N} \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}}{64} \\ - \frac{1}{N^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}^{3/2}}{2048} + \frac{3\tilde{\lambda}}{2048} - \frac{(9 - 16 \log 2) \sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}}{2048} \right) + \dots \quad (1.5)$$

up to exponentially suppressed contributions $O(e^{-\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}})$. It is interesting to observe that the first two terms can be written as

$$-2 \left(\frac{N^2}{4} + \frac{N}{8} \right) \log \tilde{\lambda} \quad (1.6)$$

where the expression in parentheses precisely reproduces the N -dependent part of the a -anomaly coefficient² of the **D**-theory, given by $a = \frac{N^2}{4} + \frac{N}{8} - \frac{5}{24}$. This behavior closely parallels that of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $\text{Sp}(2N)$ model studied in [29], where, however, the large- N strong-coupling expansion of the free-energy written in terms of the rescaled coupling $\tilde{\lambda}$ terminates after finitely many terms due to the absence of double-trace interactions in the matrix model.

Comments on the holographic dual. The fact that the large- N strong-coupling expansion of the free energy in the **D**-theory can be written entirely in terms of the rescaled coupling $\tilde{\lambda}$ naturally suggests adopting it as the appropriate 't Hooft coupling in the holographic dictionary [1, 4].³ This leads us to define the string coupling g_s and the string tension T , measured in units of the AdS radius L , as

$$8\pi g_s = \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{N}, \quad 2\pi T = \frac{L^2}{\alpha'} = \sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}, \quad (1.7)$$

which imply⁴

$$L^4 = 8\pi g_s N \alpha'^2. \quad (1.8)$$

The quantity $\tau_2 \equiv \frac{8\pi N}{\tilde{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{g_s}$ can be identified with the imaginary part of the complexified *infrared* coupling τ which naturally transforms under the $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ duality group and differs from its ultraviolet counterpart τ_{UV} , as discussed in [34] for the **D**-theory and in [35] for the closely related $\text{Sp}(2N)$ theory.

²As explained in [20], for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories there is no *a priori* reason to expect that the coefficient of the logarithmic term in the strong-coupling expansion of the free energy be proportional to the a -anomaly. However, the fact that the N -dependent part of a is correctly obtained is a remarkable fact, see also [33].

³A similar observation was done in [29] for the $\text{Sp}(2N)$ theory.

⁴Notice that these relations differ by some factors of two with respect to the familiar ones of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM. These factors are due to the fact that the dual background geometry associated to the **D**-theory is not $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ but a projection of it in which S^5 is replaced by S'^5 whose volume is $\frac{1}{2}$ of that of S^5 . Therefore, using the general formula $L^4 = 4\pi g_s N \frac{\text{vol}(S^5)}{\text{vol}(M_5)}$, valid for a geometry of the type $\text{AdS}_5 \times M_5$, we find (1.8) for $M_5 = S'^5$.

In terms of the variables defined in (1.7), the leading terms of (1.5) take the form

$$F_{\text{D}} \sim -\frac{\pi^2 T^4 \log(2\pi T)}{4g_s^2} - \frac{\pi T^2 \log(2\pi T)}{4g_s} + \frac{\pi T}{4} + \frac{3 \log(2\pi T)}{16} + O(g_s). \quad (1.9)$$

This expansion exhibits the structure expected from the holographic correspondence. The leading $1/g_s^2$ -term can be understood in close analogy with the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ case as arising from the tree-level (sphere) string effective action $\frac{T^4}{16\pi^3 g_s^2} \frac{1}{L^8} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{g} (R + \dots)$, supplemented by a suitable topological boundary term [36]. Evaluating this action on the $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ background and regulating the AdS volume as in [12], reproduces the leading term in (1.9).

The subleading $1/g_s$ -term has a structure consistent with the tree-level (disk) effective action of the D7-branes and orientifold O7-planes. In fact, this action contains curvature-squared terms of the schematic form $\frac{T^2}{16\pi^3 g_s} \frac{1}{L^4} \int d^8x \sqrt{g} (R \cdot R + \dots)$, see for example [37, 38]. Upon integration over $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^3$ and after regulating the AdS volume as above, these terms yield contributions proportional to $\frac{\pi T^2 \log(2\pi T)}{g_s}$, in agreement with (1.9). The precise numerical coefficient, however, cannot be fixed unambiguously at present, since additional couplings, such as those involving the self-dual Ramond-Ramond five-form, may also contribute to the same structure. Owing to the current lack of a complete characterization of these terms in the world-volume action, a holographic determination of the coefficient remains an open problem.⁵

The g_s^0 -terms in (1.9) represent genuine new predictions of the localization computation. In the holographic dual description, they should arise from quantum corrections associated to closed-string loops (torus diagrams) and open-string loops (annulus diagrams). It would be very interesting to verify these contributions directly through a dual holographic calculation. Even more intriguing questions are whether or not the holographic dictionary (1.7) continues to apply in the non-conformal $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}$ -theory and how the rescaled coupling $\tilde{\lambda}$ defined in (1.2b) should be interpreted from the holographic dual perspective in this case. Since localization provides a firm prediction for the leading strong-coupling behavior of the free energy in the non-conformal case, given in (1.3), these questions appear to be accessible. However, we leave their investigation to future work.

In section 6 we present our conclusions. Finally, a number of technical details and auxiliary computations, which are useful to reproduce the results presented in the main text, are collected in the appendices.

2 Matrix-model techniques for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SYM theories

Exploiting supersymmetric localization [5], the partition function $\mathcal{Z}$ of an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SYM theory with gauge group $\text{SU}(N)$ and coupling g , formulated on a four-sphere S^4 of radius R , can be reduced to a finite-dimensional integral over a matrix $a \in \mathfrak{su}(N)$:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}a \, e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} \text{tr}(Ra)^2} |Z_{1\text{-loop}} Z_{\text{inst}}|^2. \quad (2.1)$$

⁵See also [29].

Here $Z_{1\text{-loop}}$ encodes the Gaussian fluctuations around the localization locus, while Z_{inst} captures the instanton contributions.⁶ In the large- N limit with fixed 't Hooft coupling $\lambda = Ng^2$, instanton effects are exponentially suppressed and can be consistently neglected, allowing us to set $Z_{\text{inst}} = 1$. Note that these effects remain negligible even when we take the strong-coupling limit in λ with $g^2 = \lambda/N \ll 1$. This is the regime considered in this paper.

The one-loop contribution can be expressed as

$$|Z_{1\text{-loop}}|^2 = e^{-S_{\text{int}}(Ra)}, \quad (2.2)$$

thereby defining an interaction action whose explicit form depends on the matter content of the theory. For massless hypermultiplets in a representation $\mathcal{R}$ of $SU(N)$, one finds [13, 18]

$$S_{\text{int}}(Ra) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{R}} \log H(Ra) - \text{Tr}_{\text{adj}} \log H(Ra) \equiv \text{Tr}'_{\mathcal{R}} \log H(Ra), \quad (2.3)$$

where $H(x)$ is defined in terms of the Barnes- G function as

$$H(x) = G(1+ix)G(1-ix)e^{-(1+\gamma)x^2} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{n^2}\right)^n e^{-\frac{x^2}{n}}, \quad (2.4)$$

with γ denoting the Euler-Mascheroni constant.

Using the small- x expansion of $H(x)$, the interaction action (2.3) admits the series representation

$$S_{\text{int}}(Ra) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (-1)^p \frac{\zeta(2p+1)}{p+1} \text{Tr}'_{\mathcal{R}}(Ra)^{2p+2}. \quad (2.5)$$

Importantly, these results hold for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SYM theories with arbitrary massless matter, including models with a non-vanishing β -function. In this case, the coupling g in (2.1) must be interpreted as the running coupling evaluated at the scale $1/R$, namely [5, 7–9, 11]

$$\frac{1}{g^2} = \frac{1}{g_{\star}^2} - \frac{b_0}{8\pi^2} \log(\mu R). \quad (2.6)$$

In this expression $g_{\star}$ is the coupling evaluated at the renormalization scale μ and b_0 is the one-loop coefficient of the β -function. With our conventions, $b_0 > 0$ corresponds to asymptotically free theories and is given by

$$b_0 = 2(N - i_{\mathcal{R}}), \quad (2.7)$$

where $i_{\mathcal{R}}$ is the Dynkin index of the representation $\mathcal{R}$. The presence of a running coupling implies the existence of a strong-coupling scale Λ defined by

$$R\Lambda = e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{b_0 g^2}} \quad (2.8)$$

⁶As explained in appendix A of [20], the partition function $\mathcal{Z}$ contains also an overall coupling-independent normalization factor that accounts for the a -anomaly coefficient of the theory. Being coupling-independent, this factor is irrelevant in our discussion, and thus we have omitted it. Our conventions for the trace normalization and the integration measure appearing in (2.1) are specified below, see (2.12) and (2.13).

at which g diverges. Since in this work we restrict to the small- g regime where $R\Lambda$ is exponentially suppressed, all contributions of the form $(R\Lambda)^n$ can be consistently neglected, just like instantons.

Upon rescaling the matrix a as

$$a \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{8\pi^2 N}} \frac{a}{R}, \quad (2.9)$$

the partition function (2.1) becomes

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{N}=4} \int \mathcal{D}a \, e^{-\text{tr} a^2 - S_{\text{int}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{8\pi^2 N}} a\right)}, \quad (2.10)$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{N}=4}$ is the partition function of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM with coupling λ , arising from the Jacobian of the rescaling, while the interaction action takes the form

$$S_{\text{int}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{8\pi^2 N}} a\right) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (-1)^p \left(\frac{\lambda}{8\pi^2 N}\right)^{p+1} \frac{\zeta(2p+1)}{p+1} \text{Tr}'_{\mathcal{R}} a^{2p+2}. \quad (2.11)$$

In the following, we adopt the full Lie-algebra formulation introduced in [39] and write $a = a^b T^b$ ($b = 1, \dots, N^2 - 1$), where the generators T^b of $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ in the fundamental representation are normalized as

$$\text{tr} T^b T^c = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{bc}, \quad (2.12)$$

and the integration measure is chosen as

$$\mathcal{D}a = \prod_{b=1}^{N^2-1} \frac{da^b}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \quad (2.13)$$

so that $\int \mathcal{D}a \, e^{-\text{tr} a^2} = 1$.

2.1 Special classes of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories

For a generic matter representation $\mathcal{R}$, the explicit evaluation of the matrix model (2.10) is highly nontrivial due to the presence of the primed trace in the interaction potential (2.11). However, substantial simplifications occur when the hypermultiplet representation takes the form

$$\mathcal{R} = N_{\text{adj}} (\text{adjoint}) \oplus N_{\text{F}} (\square) \oplus N_{\text{A}} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}\right) \oplus N_{\text{S}} (\square\square), \quad (2.14)$$

for which the interaction action can be systematically rewritten in terms of fundamental traces using the results of [13, 18]. This reformulation renders the resulting matrix model amenable to a controlled large- N analysis.

For the representation (2.14), the β -function coefficient (2.7) becomes

$$b_0 = 2N(1 - N_{\text{adj}}) - N_{\text{F}} - (N - 2) N_{\text{A}} - (N + 2) N_{\text{S}}. \quad (2.15)$$

The choice $N_{\text{adj}} = 1$, $N_{\text{F}} = N_{\text{A}} = N_{\text{S}} = 0$ corresponds to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, while genuinely $\mathcal{N} = 2$ asymptotically free theories are characterized by $N_{\text{adj}} = 0$. In this work, we focus primarily on the class of theories defined by

$$N_{\text{adj}} = N_{\text{S}} = 0, \quad N_{\text{A}} = 2, \quad 0 \leq N_{\text{F}} \leq 4, \quad (2.16)$$

which we refer to as the $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}$ -theory. For this class, the β -function coefficient simplifies to

$$b_0 = 4 - N_{\text{F}}. \quad (2.17)$$

When $N_{\text{F}} = 4$, the theory becomes superconformal and coincides with the so-called $\mathbf{D}$ -theory, previously studied in [20, 26] and more recently in the context of integrated correlators in [31, 34, 40]. Another superconformal model in this class is obtained for

$$N_{\text{adj}} = N_{\text{F}} = 0, \quad N_{\text{A}} = N_{\text{S}} = 1, \quad (2.18)$$

corresponding to the so-called $\mathbf{E}$ -theory, extensively investigated in [13, 21–28].

The $\mathbf{D}$ - and $\mathbf{E}$ -theories are the only $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal models in this family whose number of hypermultiplets is independent of N . Moreover, the $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}$ -theory with $0 \leq N_{\text{F}} < 4$ is the unique asymptotically free $\mathcal{N} = 2$ model in this class whose β -function coefficient remains N -independent.

2.2 The interaction action

When the matter representation $\mathcal{R}$ is of the form (2.14) the primed traces appearing in (2.11) can be expressed in terms of fundamental traces as [13, 18]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}'_{\mathcal{R}} a^{2k} &\equiv \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{R}} a^{2k} - \text{Tr}_{\text{adj}} a^{2k} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=2}^{2k-2} \binom{2k}{\ell} \left[N_{\text{A}} + N_{\text{S}} + 2(-1)^{\ell}(N_{\text{adj}} - 1) \right] \text{tr} a^{\ell} \text{tr} a^{2k-\ell} \\ &\quad + \left[(2^{2k-1} - 2)(N_{\text{S}} - N_{\text{A}}) - b_0 \right] \text{tr} a^{2k}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

with b_0 given in (2.15). For $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM this expression vanishes identically, reflecting the purely Gaussian nature of the corresponding matrix model. For the $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}$ -theory, we tune the coefficients N_{S} and N_{A} according to (2.16) and obtain

$$\text{Tr}'_{\mathcal{R}} a^{2k} = 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-2} \binom{2k}{2\ell+1} \text{tr} a^{2\ell+1} \text{tr} a^{2k-2\ell-1} - (2^{2k} - 4 + b_0) \text{tr} a^{2k}. \quad (2.20)$$

In this expression the double-trace terms coincide with those of the $\mathbf{E}$ -theory, as can be verified by setting $N_{\text{S}} = N_{\text{A}} = 1$ in (2.19). Moreover, (2.20) correctly reproduces the superconformal $\mathbf{D}$ -theory when $b_0 = 0$. Substituting (2.20) in (2.11), the interaction action of the $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}$ -theory can be written as the sum of two distinct contributions

$$S_{\widehat{\mathbf{D}}} = S_{\mathbf{E}} + S_{\text{s-t}}. \quad (2.21)$$

Introducing the rescaled operators

$$\mathcal{O}_k = \text{tr} \left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{N}} \right)^k, \quad (2.22)$$

one finds that $S_{\mathbf{E}}$ and $S_{\text{s-t}}$ are given by

$$S_{\mathbf{E}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^{\infty} C_{j_1 j_2} \mathcal{O}_{2j_1+1} \mathcal{O}_{2j_2+1}, \quad (2.23)$$

$$S_{\text{s-t}} = -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} J_i \mathcal{O}_{2i}, \quad (2.24)$$

where the semi-infinite matrix $C_{j_1 j_2}$ is [28]

$$C_{j_1 j_2} = 8(-1)^{j_1+j_2+1} \left(\frac{\lambda}{8\pi^2} \right)^{j_1+j_2+1} \frac{\Gamma(2j_1+2j_2+2)}{\Gamma(2j_1+2)\Gamma(2j_2+2)} \zeta(2j_1+2j_2+1), \quad (2.25)$$

while the sources J_i are

$$J_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad J_i = (-1)^{i+1} \left(\frac{\lambda}{8\pi^2} \right)^i \frac{\zeta(2i-1)}{i} (2^{2i} - 4 + b_0) \quad \text{for } i \geq 2. \quad (2.26)$$

2.3 The partition function

Using (2.23) and (2.24) in (2.10), the partition function of the $\hat{\mathbf{D}}$ -theory can be recast as

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\hat{\mathbf{D}}} = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{N}=4} \int \mathcal{D}a \, e^{-\text{tr} a^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^{\infty} C_{j_1 j_2} \mathcal{O}_{2j_1+1} \mathcal{O}_{2j_2+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} J_i \mathcal{O}_{2i}}. \quad (2.27)$$

Following [28], we linearize the quadratic term in (2.27) via a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation. Introducing auxiliary sources K_j coupled to the odd operators leads to

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\hat{\mathbf{D}}} = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{N}=4}}{\sqrt{\det C}} \int \mathcal{D}K \, e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^{\infty} (C^{-1})_{j_1 j_2} K_{j_1} K_{j_2}} \int \mathcal{D}a \, e^{-\text{tr} a^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} K_j \mathcal{O}_{2j+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} J_i \mathcal{O}_{2i}}. \quad (2.28)$$

In the Gaussian integral over a , the sources K_j and J_i enter on the same footing. It is therefore natural to introduce collective sources ρ_k defined by

$$\rho_{2i} \equiv J_i, \quad \rho_{2j+1} \equiv K_j, \quad (2.29)$$

so that the matrix integral in (2.28) can be expressed as a cumulant expansion

$$Z_0 = \int \mathcal{D}a \, e^{-\text{tr} a^2 + \rho_k \mathcal{O}_k} = \exp \left[\sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{L!} \rho_{k_1} \cdots \rho_{k_L} G_{k_1 \dots k_L} \right]. \quad (2.30)$$

Here, repeated indices are summed from 2 to ∞ , and

$$G_{k_1 \dots k_L} = \langle \mathcal{O}_{k_1} \cdots \mathcal{O}_{k_L} \rangle_0^c, \quad (2.31)$$

denotes the connected Gaussian correlator of L single-trace operators. Owing to the symmetry of the Gaussian measure under $a \rightarrow -a$, these correlators vanish unless the number of odd

operators is even. Writing $L = n + 2m$, where n is the number of even operators and $2m$ the number of odd ones, we introduce the notation

$$G_{2i_1 \dots 2i_n; 2j_1+1 \dots 2j_{2m}+1} \equiv Q_{i_1 \dots i_n; j_1 \dots j_{2m}}^{(n|2m)}, \quad (2.32)$$

with $Q^{(0|0)} = 0$. When indices are not necessary, we will simply write $Q^{(n|2m)}$.

Separating the sources ρ_k into J_i and K_j according to the index parity, we find

$$Z_0 = \exp \left[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2m)!} K_{j_1} \dots K_{j_{2m}} \hat{Q}_{j_1 \dots j_{2m}}^{(2m)} \right], \quad (2.33)$$

where

$$\hat{Q}_{j_1, \dots, j_{2m}}^{(2m)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} J_{i_1} \dots J_{i_n} Q_{i_1 \dots i_n; j_1 \dots j_{2m}}^{(n|2m)}, \quad (2.34)$$

and repeated indices are summed from 1 to ∞ . Using these expressions, the partition function (2.28) becomes

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\hat{\mathbf{D}}} = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{N}=4}}{\sqrt{\det C}} e^{\hat{Q}^{(0)}} \int \mathcal{D}K e^{-\frac{1}{2} (C^{-1} - \hat{Q}^{(2)})_{j_1 j_2} K_{j_1} K_{j_2} + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2m)!} K_{j_1} \dots K_{j_{2m}} \hat{Q}_{j_1, \dots, j_{2m}}^{(2m)}}. \quad (2.35)$$

Up to overall factors, the $\hat{\mathbf{D}}$ -theory partition function takes the form of an effective theory for the variables K_j , with propagator

$$X \equiv (C^{-1} - \hat{Q}^{(2)})^{-1} = (1 - C \hat{Q}^{(2)})^{-1} C, \quad (2.36)$$

and interaction vertices $\hat{Q}^{(2m)}$. According to their definition (2.34), these vertices depend on the Gaussian correlators $Q^{(n|2m)}$ given in (2.32) which, in the large- N limit, admit the following topological expansion [28]

$$Q_{i_1, \dots, i_n; j_1, \dots, j_{2m}}^{(n|2m)} = \frac{\beta_{i_1} \dots \beta_{i_n} \gamma_{j_1} \dots \gamma_{j_{2m}}}{N^{n+2m}} \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} N^{2-2g} P_g^{(n|2m)}(i_1, \dots, i_n; j_1, \dots, j_{2m}). \quad (2.37)$$

Here $P_g^{(n|2m)}$ are symmetric polynomials in $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ and $\{j_1, \dots, j_{2m}\}$ of degree $n + 2m + 3g - 3$ (see appendix A for details), while the coefficients β_i and γ_i are

$$\beta_i = \frac{1}{2^{i-1}} \frac{\Gamma(2i)}{\Gamma(i)^2}, \quad (2.38)$$

$$\gamma_j = \frac{1}{2^{j+\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(2j+2)}{\Gamma(j+2)\Gamma(j)}. \quad (2.39)$$

From (2.37) it follows that the effective vertices $\hat{Q}^{(2m)}$ with $m \geq 1$ scale as N^{2-2m} , while $\hat{Q}^{(0)}$ scales as N . As a result, at any fixed order in the $1/N$ -expansion, only finitely many vertices can contribute, ensuring that the large- N analysis is well-controlled. As we will shortly show, reformulating the matrix model of the $\hat{\mathbf{D}}$ -theory in terms of the effective theory (2.35) provides an efficient framework for deriving explicit and exact expressions for a variety of observables in the large- N limit, as well as for analyzing their large- λ regime.

Finally, we observe that the dependence of the partition function on the external sources J_i is through both X and $\hat{Q}^{(2m)}$. Setting $J_i = 0$ in (2.35) switches off the single-trace interactions and yields the matrix model for the **E**-theory. In this limit

$$\hat{Q}^{(2m)}|_{J=0} = Q^{(0|2m)} \quad (2.40)$$

and the partition function reduces to [28]

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{E}} = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{N}=4}}{\sqrt{\det C}} \int \mathcal{D}K \, e^{-\frac{1}{2}(C^{-1}-Q^{(0|2)})_{j_1 j_2} K_{j_1} K_{j_2} + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2m)!} K_{j_1} \dots K_{j_{2m}} Q_{j_1 \dots j_{2m}}^{(0|2m)}}. \quad (2.41)$$

3 The free energy and the Wilson loop in the $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}$ -theory at large N

In this section, we introduce the matrix formulation of the two observables of interest: the free energy and the expectation value of the $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS Wilson loop.

3.1 The free energy

The free energy of the $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}$ -theory on S^4 is among the simplest quantities we can consider and is defined by

$$F_{\widehat{\mathbf{D}}} = -\log \mathcal{Z}_{\widehat{\mathbf{D}}}. \quad (3.1)$$

Starting from the effective formulation (2.35), we observe that $F_{\widehat{\mathbf{D}}}$ receives four distinct contributions: (i) the free energy of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

$$F_{\mathcal{N}=4} = -N^2 \frac{\log \lambda}{2} + \frac{\log \lambda}{2}, \quad (3.2)$$

which follows from the Gaussian matrix integral (see for example [12]);⁷ (ii) a term proportional to $\hat{Q}^{(0)}$; (iii) a contribution arising from the determinant of the quadratic kernel $(C^{-1} - \hat{Q}^{(2)})$; and (iv) a sum of connected vacuum diagrams built from the interaction vertices $\hat{Q}^{(2m)}$. Graphically, we represent these vertices as blobs with $2m$ legs, while contractions with the propagator X are depicted by continuous lines. In this notation, the free energy takes the form

$$F_{\widehat{\mathbf{D}}} = F_{\mathcal{N}=4} - \hat{Q}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \log (1 - C \hat{Q}^{(2)})$$
$$+ \dots \quad (3.3)$$

According to (2.40), replacing $\hat{Q}^{(2m)}$ with $Q^{(0|2m)}$ yields the free energy of the **E**-theory. The first few orders in the large- N expansion of this quantity were computed in [21, 26, 28]. Here we revisit and extend these calculations in a systematic way, preparing the ground for the strong-coupling analysis presented in section 4.

⁷As noted in appendix A of [20], this localization result holds in a specific subtraction scheme where the logarithmic UV divergence of the free energy is removed.

The function $\widehat{Q}^{(0)}$. The second contribution in (3.3) is $-\widehat{Q}^{(0)}$. Using (2.34) we find

$$-\widehat{Q}^{(0)} = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} J_{i_1} \dots J_{i_n} Q_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{(n|0)}, \quad (3.4)$$

where the coefficients $Q_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{(n|0)}$ are the Gaussian correlators defined in (2.31). From (2.37) it follows that

$$Q_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{(n|0)} = \frac{\beta_{i_1} \dots \beta_{i_n}}{N^n} \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} N^{2-2g} P_g^{(n|0)}(i_1, \dots, i_n) \quad (3.5)$$

where β_i is given in (2.38) and $P_g^{(n|0)}(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ is a symmetric polynomial of degree $n+3g-3$ in its arguments. Substituting (3.5) into (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\widehat{Q}^{(0)} &= - \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{N^{2-2g-n}}{n!} \prod_{\ell=1}^n (\beta_{i_\ell} J_{i_\ell}) P_g^{(n|0)}(i_1, \dots, i_n) \\ &= N q_1 + q_2 + \frac{1}{N} q_3 + \frac{1}{N^2} q_4 + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where, in the first line, there is an implicit sum over the indices $i_\ell \geq 2$. The general structure of the expansion coefficients q_ℓ is

$$q_\ell = - \sum_{g=0}^{\lfloor \frac{\ell-1}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{(\ell-2g)!} \prod_{q=1}^{\ell-2g} (\beta_{i_q} J_{i_q}) P_g^{(\ell-2g|0)}(i_1, \dots, i_{\ell-2g}). \quad (3.7)$$

For the first few values of ℓ , one readily finds

$$q_1 = -(\beta_i J_i) P_0^{(1|0)}(i), \quad (3.8a)$$

$$q_2 = -\frac{1}{2} (\beta_{i_1} J_{i_1}) (\beta_{i_2} J_{i_2}) P_0^{(2|0)}(i_1, i_2), \quad (3.8b)$$

$$q_3 = -\frac{1}{3!} (\beta_{i_1} J_{i_1}) (\beta_{i_2} J_{i_2}) (\beta_{i_3} J_{i_3}) P_0^{(3|0)}(i_1, i_2, i_3) - (\beta_i J_i) P_1^{(1|0)}(i). \quad (3.8c)$$

These coefficients depend on the 't Hooft coupling through the sources J_i according to (2.26). For instance, using the definition (2.38) for β_i and the explicit expression for the polynomial $P_0^{(1|0)}$ given in (A.4a), we obtain

$$q_1 = - \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_i J_i}{i(i+1)} = 2 \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} (-1)^i \left(\frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2} \right)^i \frac{\Gamma(2i) \zeta(2i-1)}{\Gamma(i+1) \Gamma(i+2)} (4^i - 4 + b_0). \quad (3.9)$$

To streamline higher-order expressions, we introduce the one-parameter family of functions

$$\mathcal{Y}_a = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \beta_i J_i i^{a-1} = -2 \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} (-1)^i \left(\frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2} \right)^i i^a \frac{\Gamma(2i) \zeta(2i-1)}{\Gamma^2(i+1)} (4^i - 4 + b_0). \quad (3.10)$$

Exploiting the explicit form of the polynomials $P_g^{(n|0)}$ reported in appendix A, one can show that

$$\partial_\lambda q_2 = -\frac{\mathcal{Y}_1^2}{2\lambda}, \quad q_3 = -\frac{\mathcal{Y}_1^3}{6} - \frac{\mathcal{Y}_2}{12} + \frac{7\mathcal{Y}_1}{12}. \quad (3.11)$$

Similar expressions can be easily derived for q_ℓ with $\ell > 3$.

Trace-log and vacuum diagrams. Let us turn our attention to the trace-log term in (3.3) which admits the expansion

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \log (1 - C \hat{Q}^{(2)}) = - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2k} \text{tr} (C \hat{Q}^{(2)})^k \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$\text{tr} (C \hat{Q}^{(2)})^k = \prod_{p=0}^{k-1} C_{j_{2p} j_{2p+1}} \hat{Q}_{j_{2p+1} j_{2p+2}}^{(2)} . \quad (3.13)$$

Here all repeated indices are summed and the identification $j_{2k} \equiv j_0$ is enforced. The kernel $\hat{Q}^{(2)}$ inherits a large- N expansion from connected correlators (2.37), namely

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Q}_{j_1, j_2}^{(2)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} J_{i_1} \dots J_{i_n} Q_{i_1 \dots i_n; j_1 j_2}^{(n|2)} \\ &= \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{N^{-2g-n}}{n!} \prod_{\ell=1}^n (\beta_{i_\ell} J_{i_\ell}) P_g^{(n|2)}(i_1, \dots, i_n; j_1, j_2) \gamma_{j_1} \gamma_{j_2} , \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where γ_i is given in (2.39) and $P_g^{(n|2)}$ is a polynomial of degree $n+3g-1$, symmetric in $\{i_1 \dots i_n\}$ and in $\{j_1, j_2\}$. Exploiting the explicit expression for these polynomials (see appendix A for a few examples) and following the approach developed in [28] for the **E**-theory, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \log (1 - C \hat{Q}^{(2)}) &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \log (1 - C Q^{(0|2)}) - \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\mathcal{Y}_1}{8} w_{0,0} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{N^2} \left(\frac{\mathcal{Y}_1 \mathcal{Y}_2}{8} w_{0,0} + \frac{\mathcal{Y}_1^2}{8} w_{0,1} + \frac{\mathcal{Y}_1^2}{64} w_{0,0}^2 \right) + \dots . \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Here $w_{n,m}$ are the quantities introduced in [28] to describe the matrix elements of the resolvent of the Bessel operator related to the **E**-theory. Furthermore, the trace-log term in the right-hand side of (3.15) is proportional to the Fredholm determinant of this Bessel operator and admits a large- N expansion in powers of $1/N^2$, as shown in sections 3 and 4 of [28].

The vacuum diagrams in (3.3) can be studied in the same way. For example one finds

$$\text{Diagram with a circle containing } \hat{Q}^{(4)} = -\frac{1}{N^2} \left(\frac{1}{32} w_{0,0}^2 + \frac{1}{32} w_{0,0} w_{0,1} \right) + \dots . \quad (3.16)$$

Diagrams containing vertices $\hat{Q}^{(2m)}$ with $m > 2$ contribute only at increasingly subleading orders in the large- N expansion, and will not be needed in what follows.

3.2 The circular Wilson loop

The second observable we consider is the expectation value of the $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS Wilson loop

$$W = \frac{1}{N} \text{tr} \mathcal{P} \exp \left\{ g \oint_C ds \left[i A_\mu(x) \dot{x}^\mu(s) + \frac{R}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\phi(x) + \bar{\phi}(x) \right) \right] \right\} \quad (3.17)$$

where the gauge field A_μ , and the vector-multiplet scalars ϕ and $\bar{\phi}$ are integrated along a circle C of radius R , parametrized by $s \in [0, 2\pi)$ with $x(s)^2 = \dot{x}^2(s) = R^2$.

Using supersymmetric localization [5], the Wilson loop (3.17) admits the matrix-model representation $\frac{1}{N} \text{tr} e^{2\pi R a}$, which after the rescaling (2.9) becomes

$$\mathcal{W} = \frac{1}{N} \text{tr} e^{\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2N}} a} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2N} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \text{tr} a^p. \quad (3.18)$$

Taking the vacuum expectation value in the $\hat{\mathbf{D}}$ -theory, we obtain

$$\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle_{\hat{\mathbf{D}}} = 1 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2i)!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \right)^i \langle \mathcal{O}_{2i} \rangle_{\hat{\mathbf{D}}} \quad (3.19)$$

where the operators $\mathcal{O}_i$ are defined in (2.22) and we used the vanishing of odd-trace expectation values. The evaluation of $\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle_{\hat{\mathbf{D}}}$ therefore reduces to computing the one-point functions of the even operators $\mathcal{O}_{2i}$. From (2.27), these are obtained as

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{2i} \rangle_{\hat{\mathbf{D}}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial J_i} \log \mathcal{Z}_{\hat{\mathbf{D}}}. \quad (3.20)$$

Using the effective theory (2.35) for the sources K_j , and recalling that the sources J_i enter only through the interaction vertices $\hat{Q}^{(2m)}$, we find

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{2i} \rangle_{\hat{\mathbf{D}}} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2m)!} \hat{R}_{i;j_1, \dots, j_{2m}}^{(2m)} \langle\langle K_{j_1} \dots K_{j_{2m}} \rangle\rangle \quad (3.21)$$

where

$$\hat{R}_{i;j_1, \dots, j_{2m}}^{(2m)} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial J_i} \hat{Q}_{j_1 \dots j_{2m}}^{(2m)} = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} J_{k_1} \dots J_{k_p} Q_{i, k_1, \dots, k_p; j_1, \dots, j_{2m}}^{(p+1|2m)}, \quad (3.22)$$

and the double brackets $\langle\langle \rangle\rangle$ denote expectation values in the effective theory (2.35).

The correlators $\langle\langle K_{j_1} \dots K_{j_{2m}} \rangle\rangle$ appearing in (3.21) can be computed by standard Feynman diagram techniques using the propagator X and the vertices $\hat{Q}^{(2m)}$, defined respectively in (2.36) and (2.34). As an illustration, we can consider the two-point correlator that admits the following diagrammatic expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle K_{j_1} K_{j_2} \rangle\rangle &= \text{diagram 1} + \text{diagram 2} + \text{diagram 3} + \dots \\ &= X_{j_1 j_2} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{Q}_{mnpq}^{(4)} X_{j_1 m} X_{np} X_{q j_2} + \frac{1}{24} \hat{Q}_{mnpqrs}^{(6)} X_{j_1 m} X_{np} X_{qr} X_{s j_2} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

where the numerical coefficients are the symmetry factors of the corresponding diagrams. Higher-point correlators are obtained analogously.

According to (3.21), the computation of $\langle \mathcal{O}_{2i} \rangle_{\hat{\mathbf{D}}}$ amounts to contracting the external legs of $\langle\langle K_{j_1} \dots K_{j_{2m}} \rangle\rangle$ with the coefficients $\hat{R}_{i;j_1, \dots, j_{2m}}^{(2m)}$. We graphically represent these coefficients

as blobs with $2m + 1$ legs, one of which (associated to the index i) is depicted by a dashed line. This leads to the following diagrammatic representation of $\langle \mathcal{O}_{2i} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathbf{D}}}$

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{2i} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathbf{D}}} = \text{---}^i \text{---} \widehat{R}^{(0)} + \text{---}^i \text{---} \widehat{R}^{(2)} + \text{---}^i \text{---} \widehat{R}^{(2)} \widehat{Q}^{(4)} + \text{---}^i \text{---} \widehat{R}^{(4)} + \dots \quad (3.24)$$

Substituting this expression into (3.19) yields the large- N expansion of $\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathbf{D}}}$. Owing to the scaling properties of the vertices $\widehat{Q}^{(2m)}$ and $\widehat{R}^{(2m)}$, only a finite number of diagrams contribute at any fixed order in the large- N expansion.

If all external sources J_i are switched off, the above construction provides the Wilson loop expectation value in the $\mathbf{E}$ -theory. In practice, this corresponds to replacing $\widehat{Q}^{(2m)}$ with $Q^{(0|2m)}$ and $\widehat{R}^{(2m)}$ with $Q^{(1|2m)}$. In this way, we immediately recover the results of [21, 26, 28] at the first few orders in the large- N expansion.

4 The free energy at strong coupling

In this section we analyze the free energy of the $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}$ -theory in the strong-coupling limit $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Building on the general framework developed in section 3.1, we examine separately the various contributions appearing in (3.3) and determine their leading strong-coupling behavior to all orders in the large- N expansion. Subleading effects in the conformal $\mathbf{D}$ -theory will be discussed in a subsequent subsection.

4.1 Leading terms

The $\mathcal{N} = 4$ free energy is known exactly as a function of λ and is reported in (3.2). We therefore focus on the other terms in (3.3).

The function $\widehat{Q}^{(0)}$. The first contribution we have to consider is the large- N expansion of $-\widehat{Q}^{(0)}$ given in (3.6). Its dependence on the 't Hooft coupling λ is encoded in the coefficients q_ℓ , defined in (3.7) and originally expressed as perturbative series in λ . These series, however, can be resummed in terms of Mellin-Barnes integrals which can then be used to derive their asymptotic expansion at strong coupling.

As an illustrative example, let us consider q_1 . Its weak-coupling expansion (3.9) admits the following Mellin-Barnes representation

$$q_1 = \int_{\delta-i\infty}^{\delta+i\infty} \frac{ds}{2\pi i} \left(\frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2} \right)^{s+1} \frac{2\pi}{\sin \pi s} \frac{\Gamma(2s+2) \zeta(2s+1)}{\Gamma(s+2) \Gamma(s+3)} (2^{2s+2} - 4 + b_0) \quad (4.1)$$

where $\delta \in (0, 1)$. This representation is valid for all values of λ and reduces to the original perturbative expression (3.9) if one closes the integration contour clockwise. If instead one closes the contour counter-clockwise, one obtains the following strong-coupling asymptotic expansion

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} & b_0 \left[\frac{\lambda}{32\pi^2} \log \left(\frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2} e^{2\gamma} \right) - \frac{\lambda}{64\pi^2} + \frac{1}{12} \log \left(\frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2} \right) + \frac{\pi^2}{15\lambda} \right] \\ & + \frac{\log 2}{4\pi^2} \lambda - \frac{1}{4} \log \left(\frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2} \right) - \frac{\pi^2}{4\lambda} + \text{constant} . \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Remarkably, this expansion truncates after finitely many terms, up to exponentially suppressed corrections. The terms in the second line reproduce the results of [20], while those in the first line constitute a new contribution.

Retaining only the leading terms as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$q_1 \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{\mathcal{Y}}{2} + \dots \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$\mathcal{Y} = \begin{cases} \frac{\log 2}{2\pi^2} \lambda & \text{if } b_0 = 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.4a)$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{b_0}{16\pi^2} \lambda \log \lambda & \text{if } b_0 \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.4b)$$

A key observation is that this structure persists for all higher-order coefficients. Indeed, as shown in appendix B.1, the functions $\mathcal{Y}_a$ defined in (3.10) satisfy

$$\mathcal{Y}_a \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -\mathcal{Y} + \dots \quad \text{for all } a \quad (4.5)$$

both in the conformal ($b_0 = 0$) and non-conformal ($b_0 \neq 0$) cases. As a consequence, the coefficients q_ℓ admit the following simple asymptotic behavior

$$q_\ell \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -(-1)^\ell \frac{\mathcal{Y}^\ell}{2^\ell} + \dots \quad (4.6)$$

The derivation of this result is presented in appendix B.1.

Substituting (4.6) into the expansion (3.6) yields

$$-\hat{Q}^{(0)} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} N \left(\frac{\mathcal{Y}}{2} + \dots \right) + \left(-\frac{\mathcal{Y}^2}{4} + \dots \right) + \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\mathcal{Y}^3}{6} + \dots \right) + \dots \quad (4.7)$$

In the regime

$$\begin{cases} 1 \ll \lambda \ll N & \text{if } b_0 = 0, \\ 1 \ll \lambda \ll \lambda \log \lambda \ll N & \text{if } b_0 \neq 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

or, equivalently $\frac{\mathcal{Y}}{N} \ll 1$, the series (4.7) can be resummed to give

$$-\hat{Q}^{(0)} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{N^2}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{Y}}{N} \right) + \dots \quad (4.9)$$

Combining this result with (3.2), and neglecting subleading terms discussed later,⁸ we find

$$F_{\mathcal{N}=4} - \hat{Q}^{(0)} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -N^2 \frac{\log \tilde{\lambda}}{2} + \dots \quad (4.10)$$

⁸In particular, the $\frac{1}{2} \log \lambda$ term in (3.2) is subleading compared to the $O(N^0)$ contribution in (4.7).

where we introduced the rescaled coupling $\tilde{\lambda}$ defined by

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{1 + \frac{\mathcal{Y}}{N}} = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda}{1 + \frac{\log 2}{2\pi^2 N} \lambda} & \text{if } b_0 = 0, \\ \frac{\lambda}{1 + \frac{b_0}{16\pi^2 N} \lambda \log \lambda} & \text{if } b_0 \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.11a)$$

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{1 + \frac{\mathcal{Y}}{N}} = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda}{1 + \frac{\log 2}{2\pi^2 N} \lambda} & \text{if } b_0 = 0, \\ \frac{\lambda}{1 + \frac{b_0}{16\pi^2 N} \lambda \log \lambda} & \text{if } b_0 \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.11b)$$

Thus, at leading order, the sole effect of the contribution $-\hat{Q}^{(0)}$ is simply to replace λ by $\tilde{\lambda}$ in the free energy of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM.

Trace-log and vacuum diagrams. The replacement mechanism exhibited in (4.10) extends to the remaining contributions in (3.3), namely the trace-log term and the vacuum diagrams involving the interaction vertices $\hat{Q}^{(2m)}$ with $m \geq 1$. As shown in appendix B.3, the strong-coupling behavior of these vertices is governed by the asymptotic relation

$$\hat{Q}_{j_1, \dots, j_{2m}}^{(2m)} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{Y}}{N}\right)^{\sum_k j_k + m}} Q_{j_1, \dots, j_{2m}}^{(0|2m)}, \quad (4.12)$$

where $Q_{j_1, \dots, j_{2m}}^{(0|2m)}$ are the connected Gaussian correlators appearing in the effective action (2.41) of the **E**-theory. We now demonstrate that this relation implies that, at any fixed order in the $1/N$ -expansion, the dominant strong-coupling contributions can be expressed in terms of **E**-theory diagrams evaluated at the rescaled coupling $\tilde{\lambda}$.

To see this, let us first consider the trace-log term (3.12)

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \log (1 - C \hat{Q}^{(2)}) = - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2k} \left(\prod_{p=0}^{k-1} C_{j_{2p} j_{2p+1}} \hat{Q}_{j_{2p+1} j_{2p+2}}^{(2)} \right), \quad (4.13)$$

and recall from (2.25) that

$$C_{j_{2p} j_{2p+1}} = \lambda^{j_{2p} + j_{2p+1} + 1} \hat{C}_{j_{2p} j_{2p+1}} \quad (4.14)$$

with $\hat{C}$ independent of λ . Using this scaling behavior together with (4.12) for $m = 1$, one finds that at strong coupling all explicit factors of λ recombine into powers of $\tilde{\lambda}$. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \log (1 - C \hat{Q}^{(2)}) &\underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2k} \left[\prod_{p=0}^{k-1} \tilde{\lambda}^{j_{2p} + j_{2p+1} + 1} \hat{C}_{j_{2p} j_{2p+1}} Q_{j_{2p+1} j_{2p+2}}^{(0|2)} \right] + \dots \\ &\underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \log (1 - C Q^{(0|2)}) \Big|_{\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

This is precisely the trace-log contribution to the free energy of the **E**-theory evaluated at coupling $\tilde{\lambda}$. Exploiting the results of [28], one therefore obtains

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \log (1 - C \hat{Q}^{(2)}) \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}}{8} + \dots \quad (4.16)$$

An independent check follows from inserting the leading strong-coupling behavior (4.5) of the functions $\mathcal{Y}_a$, together with the large- λ asymptotics of the matrix elements $w_{n,m}$ derived in [28], into the large- N expansion (3.15). This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \log (1 - C \widehat{Q}^{(2)}) &\underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{8} + \dots \right) + \frac{1}{N} \left(-\frac{\sqrt{\lambda} \mathcal{Y}}{16} + \dots \right) + \frac{1}{N^2} \left(\frac{3\sqrt{\lambda} \mathcal{Y}^2}{64} + \dots \right) + \dots \\ &\underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{8} + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

in agreement with (4.16). Notice that these contributions are subleading compared to those generated by $-\widehat{Q}^{(0)}$ at the corresponding orders in the $1/N$ -expansion.

The analysis of the remaining vacuum diagrams in (3.3) proceeds analogously. As a representative example, let us consider the diagram

$$\text{Diagram} = -\frac{1}{8} \widehat{Q}_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}^{(4)} X^{j_1 j_2} X^{j_3 j_4} \quad (4.18)$$

where X is the propagator defined in (2.36). This propagator can be manipulated as follows

$$\begin{aligned} X^{j_1 j_2} &= \left[C + C \widehat{Q}^{(2)} C + C \widehat{Q}^{(2)} C \widehat{Q}^{(2)} C + \dots \right]^{j_1 j_2} \\ &\underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{Y}}{N} \right)^{j_1 + j_2 + 1} \left\{ \left[C + C Q^{(0|2)} C + C Q^{(0|2)} C Q^{(0|2)} C + \dots \right]^{j_1 j_2} \Big|_{\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}} \right\} + \dots \\ &\underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{Y}}{N} \right)^{j_1 + j_2 + 1} X_{\mathbf{E}}^{j_1 j_2}(\tilde{\lambda}) + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

where in the second line we applied (4.12) with $m = 1$ and in the last step we identified the propagator of the $\mathbf{E}$ -theory with coupling $\tilde{\lambda}$. Substituting (4.19) into (4.18) and using (4.12) with $m = 2$, we readily obtain

$$\text{Diagram} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -\frac{1}{8} Q_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}^{(0|4)} X_{\mathbf{E}}^{j_1 j_2}(\tilde{\lambda}) X_{\mathbf{E}}^{j_3 j_4}(\tilde{\lambda}) + \dots \quad (4.20)$$

The right-hand side is precisely the same diagram as the left-hand side, but evaluated in the $\mathbf{E}$ -theory at coupling $\tilde{\lambda}$. Using the explicit results of [28], one finds

$$\text{Diagram} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -\frac{1}{N^2} \left(\frac{1}{32} w_{0,0}^2 + \frac{1}{32} w_{0,0} w_{0,1} \right) \Big|_{\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}} + \dots = -\frac{1}{N^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}^{3/2}}{2048} + \dots \right) + \dots \quad (4.21)$$

These contributions are subleading with respect to those arising from $-\widehat{Q}^{(0)}$ and the trace-log term at the same order in $1/N$.

It is straightforward to verify that the same mechanism applies to all remaining vacuum diagrams in (3.3), and more generally to all diagrams contributing to the free energy. In

particular, all factors of $(1 + \frac{\mathcal{Y}}{N})$ that do not recombine with powers of λ to reconstruct $\tilde{\lambda}$ cancel identically. Consequently, at each order in the $1/N$ expansion, the leading strong-coupling contributions to the free energy of the $\hat{\mathbf{D}}$ -theory can be resummed into expressions depending only on the effective coupling $\tilde{\lambda}$. This holds both in the conformal case ($b_0 = 0$) and in the non-conformal case ($b_0 \neq 0$), the only difference being the explicit form of $\mathcal{Y}$ in (4.4). Retaining only the dominant terms, we obtain

$$F_{\hat{\mathbf{D}}} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -N^2 \frac{\log \tilde{\lambda}}{2} + \dots \quad (4.22)$$

with $\tilde{\lambda}$ given in (4.11). For non-conformal theories with $b_0 \neq 0$ this represents a genuinely new result.

In the following subsection we extend this analysis to the subleading terms in the conformal $\mathbf{D}$ -theory.

4.2 Subleading terms

When $b_0 = 0$, the strong-coupling expansion of the free energy can be systematically extended to subleading orders using the same methods discussed above.⁹ The detailed derivation is presented in appendix B.2; here we summarize the main results.

The function $\hat{Q}^{(0)}$. Let us consider the large- N expansion of $-\hat{Q}^{(0)}$ given in (3.6). In the strong-coupling limit $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, the leading coefficient q_1 behaves as

$$q_1 \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{\mathcal{Y}}{2} - \frac{\log \lambda}{4} + O(\lambda^0), \quad (4.23)$$

with $\mathcal{Y}$ given in (4.4a), as follows from the second line of (4.2). The asymptotic behavior of the higher coefficients is controlled by that of the functions $\mathcal{Y}_a$, which take the universal form (see (B.4))

$$\mathcal{Y}_a \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -\mathcal{Y} + \frac{1}{4} \delta_{a,1} \quad (4.24)$$

up to exponentially suppressed contributions. Using this result, one finds (see (B.27))

$$q_2 \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -\frac{\mathcal{Y}^2}{4} + \frac{\mathcal{Y}}{4} - \frac{\log \lambda}{32} + O(\lambda^0), \quad (4.25a)$$

$$q_\ell \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -(-1)^\ell \frac{\mathcal{Y}^\ell}{2\ell} + (-1)^\ell \frac{\mathcal{Y}^{\ell-1}}{4(\ell-1)} + (-1)^\ell \frac{15\mathcal{Y}^{\ell-2}}{32(\ell-2)} + O(\lambda^0) \quad \text{for } \ell \geq 3. \quad (4.25b)$$

Importantly, the $\log \lambda$ -terms are present only in q_1 and q_2 . This implies that the logarithmic corrections appear only up to $O(N^0)$ in the large- N expansion of the free energy.

Substituting (4.23) and (4.25) into (3.6), and combining the results with the free energy (3.2) of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, we find that all the subleading contributions can be resummed

⁹For the non-conformal theories, the subleading contributions have a much more intricate structure due to interferences between terms proportional to b_0 with those already present in the conformal case. Although it is possible to compute some specific contributions, writing general formulas is highly non-trivial and thus we have not attempted in this paper to perform this analysis in a systematic way.

in terms of the rescaled coupling $\tilde{\lambda}$ defined in (4.11a). Indeed, a straightforward calculation shows that

$$F_{N=4} - \hat{Q}^{(0)} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -N^2 \frac{\log \tilde{\lambda}}{2} - N \frac{\log \tilde{\lambda}}{4} + \frac{15}{32} \log \tilde{\lambda} + O(\tilde{\lambda}^0), \quad (4.26)$$

which extends (4.10) to subleading orders in the conformal **D**-theory.

Trace-log and vacuum diagrams. We now turn our attention to the trace-log term, whose large- N expansion is given in (3.15). Using (4.24), together with the strong-coupling behavior of $\text{tr} \log(1 - C \hat{Q}^{(0|2)})$ and of the matrix elements $w_{n,m}$ derived in [28], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \log(1 - C \hat{Q}^{(2)}) \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} & \left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{8} - \frac{3 \log \lambda}{8} + O(\lambda^0) \right) + \frac{1}{N} \left(-\frac{\sqrt{\lambda} \mathcal{Y}}{16} + \frac{3\mathcal{Y}}{8} + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{64} + O(\lambda^0) \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{N^2} \left(\frac{3\sqrt{\lambda} \mathcal{Y}^2}{64} - \frac{3\mathcal{Y}^2}{16} - \frac{\sqrt{\lambda} \mathcal{Y}}{128} - \frac{9\lambda}{2048} - \frac{(3-2 \log 2)\sqrt{\lambda}}{2048} + O(\lambda^0) \right) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

All dependence on $\mathcal{Y}$ can again be reabsorbed into the rescaled coupling $\tilde{\lambda}$, allowing us to rewrite this expression as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \log(1 - C \hat{Q}^{(2)}) \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} & \left(\frac{\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}}{8} - \frac{3 \log \tilde{\lambda}}{8} \right) + \frac{1}{N} \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}}{64} \\ & - \frac{1}{N^2} \left(\frac{9\tilde{\lambda}}{2048} + \frac{(3-2 \log 2)\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}}{2048} \right) + O(\tilde{\lambda}^0). \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

The remaining contribution at this order arises from the vacuum diagram involving the vertex $\hat{Q}^{(4)}$ shown in (3.16). Using the results of [28], we obtain

$$\text{Diagram} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -\frac{1}{N^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}^{3/2}}{2048} - \frac{3\tilde{\lambda}}{1024} - \frac{3(2-3 \log 2)\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}}{1024} \right) + O(\tilde{\lambda}^0). \quad (4.29)$$

Collecting all terms, we find that the strong-coupling expansion of the free energy of the **D**-theory up to order $1/N^2$ is

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathbf{D}} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} & -N^2 \frac{\log \tilde{\lambda}}{2} - N \frac{\log \tilde{\lambda}}{4} + \left(\frac{\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}}{8} + \frac{3 \log \tilde{\lambda}}{32} \right) + \frac{1}{N} \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}}{64} \\ & - \frac{1}{N^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}^{3/2}}{2048} + \frac{3\tilde{\lambda}}{2048} - \frac{(9-16 \log 2)\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}}{2048} \right) + O(\tilde{\lambda}^0). \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

We observe that leading and subleading terms in this expression are

$$-2 \left(\frac{N^2}{4} + \frac{N}{8} \right) \log \tilde{\lambda} \quad (4.31)$$

which encode the N -dependent part of the a -anomaly coefficient of the **D**-theory.¹⁰ This is a nice feature of our results.

Finally, it is instructive to compare $F_{\mathbf{D}}$ with the free energy of the **E**-theory at strong coupling derived in [28], which reads

$$F_{\mathbf{E}} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -N^2 \frac{\log \lambda}{2} + \left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{8} + \frac{\log \lambda}{8} \right) + \frac{1}{N^2} \left(\frac{\lambda^{3/2}}{2048} + \frac{3\lambda}{2048} - \frac{(11 - 16 \log 2)\sqrt{\lambda}}{2048} \right) + O(\lambda^0). \quad (4.32)$$

A direct comparison shows that

$$F_{\mathbf{D}} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} F_{\mathbf{E}} \Big|_{\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}} - N \frac{\log \tilde{\lambda}}{4} - \frac{\log \tilde{\lambda}}{32} + \frac{1}{N} \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}}{64} - \frac{1}{N^2} \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}}{1024} + O(\tilde{\lambda}^0), \quad (4.33)$$

which makes explicit the precise relation between the free energies of the **D**- and **E**-theories at strong coupling.

5 The circular Wilson loop at strong coupling

We now turn our attention to the strong-coupling behavior of the vacuum expectation value of the $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS Wilson loop $\mathcal{W}$ in the large- N limit. Combining (3.19) with the diagrammatic representation (3.24), we find that $\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathbf{D}}}$ admits the following expansion

$$\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathbf{D}}} = 1 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2i)!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \right)^i \left[i \text{---} \widehat{R}^{(0)} + i \text{---} \widehat{R}^{(2)} + i \text{---} \widehat{R}^{(2)} \widehat{Q}^{(4)} + i \text{---} \widehat{R}^{(4)} + \dots \right], \quad (5.1)$$

where the functions $\widehat{R}^{(2m)}$ are defined in (3.22) and depend on the interaction vertices $\widehat{Q}^{(2m)}$ (2.34), which in turn are expressed in terms of the Gaussian correlators $Q^{(n|2m)}$ given in (2.32). Using the topological expansion (2.37), each diagram in (5.1) can be systematically expanded in powers of $1/N$, leading to the general structure

$$\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathbf{D}}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N^n} \mathcal{W}_n(\lambda). \quad (5.2)$$

The coefficients $\mathcal{W}_n(\lambda)$ receive contributions from different classes of diagrams. In particular, the diagram involving $\widehat{R}^{(0)}$ contributes to all orders in $1/N$, whereas those containing $\widehat{R}^{(2)}$ start contributing at order $1/N^2$. Higher vertices behave similarly. It is therefore convenient to study each diagram separately and decompose the Wilson loop expectation value as

$$\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathbf{D}}} = \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^{(0)} + \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^{(2)} + \dots \quad (5.3)$$

¹⁰The a -anomaly coefficient is determined by the matter content and for the **D**-theory is $a = \frac{N^2}{4} + \frac{N}{8} - \frac{5}{24}$. See also the comments in footnote 2.

where

$$\widehat{\mathcal{W}}^{(0)} = 1 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2i)!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^i \left(i \text{---} \textcircled{\widehat{R}^{(0)}} \right), \quad (5.4a)$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{W}}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2i)!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^i \left(i \text{---} \textcircled{\widehat{R}^{(2)}} \right). \quad (5.4b)$$

Higher-order contributions can be treated in complete analogy. Although these expressions are initially given as perturbative series in λ , their strong-coupling behavior can be extracted using the same methods developed for the free energy.

The function $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}^{(0)}$. From (3.22), we see that the vertex $\widehat{R}^{(0)}$ takes the form

$$i \text{---} \textcircled{\widehat{R}^{(0)}} \equiv \widehat{R}_i^{(0)} = Q_i^{(1|0)} + J_{k_1} Q_{i,k_1}^{(2|0)} + \frac{1}{2} J_{k_1} J_{k_2} Q_{i,k_1 k_2}^{(3|0)} + \dots \quad (5.5)$$

The leading term $Q_i^{(1|0)}$ is independent of λ while the other terms depend on the 't Hooft coupling through the sources J_k (2.26). We now show that the contribution of $Q_i^{(1|0)}$ to (5.4a) reproduces the Wilson loop expectation value of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM at all orders in $1/N$. Indeed, using the large- N expansion (see appendix A),

$$Q_i^{(1|0)} = N \beta_i \left[\frac{1}{i(i+1)} + \frac{1}{N^2} \frac{i-7}{12} + O(1/N^4) \right], \quad (5.6)$$

one finds

$$1 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2i)!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^i Q_i^{(1|0)} = \frac{2 I_1(\sqrt{\lambda})}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \frac{1}{N^2} \frac{\lambda I_0(\sqrt{\lambda}) - 14\sqrt{\lambda} I_1(\sqrt{\lambda})}{48} + O(1/N^4) \quad (5.7)$$

where I_n are the modified Bessel functions of the first kind. These are precisely the planar and subplanar contributions to the Wilson loop expectation value in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM [41, 42]. Extending the argument to all orders, we conclude that

$$1 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2i)!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^i Q_i^{(1|0)} = \langle \mathcal{W} \rangle_{\mathcal{N}=4}. \quad (5.8)$$

Since no other diagrams contribute at order N^0 , the planar term of $\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}}$ coincides with that of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM:

$$\mathcal{W}_0(\lambda) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{W} \rangle_{\mathcal{N}=4} = \frac{2 I_1(\sqrt{\lambda})}{\sqrt{\lambda}}. \quad (5.9)$$

While (5.7) is exact in λ , its strong-coupling limit follows immediately from the large-argument expansion of the Bessel functions and turns out to be

$$\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle_{\mathcal{N}=4} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{e^{\sqrt{\lambda}}}{\lambda^{3/4}} \left[\left(1 - \frac{3}{8\sqrt{\lambda}} + \dots \right) + \frac{1}{N^2} \left(\frac{\lambda^{3/2}}{96} + \dots \right) + O(1/N^4) \right] \quad (5.10)$$

where we dropped exponentially suppressed terms.

where $w_{0,0}$ is a matrix element of the resolvent Bessel operator of the **E**-theory, already encountered in the analysis of the free energy.

Substituting (5.15) into (5.4b), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathcal{W}}^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{N^2} \frac{w_{0,0}}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_i}{(2i)!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^i + O(1/N^3) \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2} \frac{w_{0,0} \sqrt{\lambda} I_1(\sqrt{\lambda})}{16} + O(1/N^3).\end{aligned}\tag{5.16}$$

This matches the subplanar term in the expectation value of the Wilson loop of the **E**-theory computed in [28]. Using the asymptotic behavior $w_{0,0} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2} + \dots$ derived in that reference, we finally find

$$\widehat{\mathcal{W}}^{(2)} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{N^2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{e^{\sqrt{\lambda}}}{\lambda^{3/4}} \left(-\frac{\lambda^{3/2}}{64} + \dots \right) + O(1/N^3).\tag{5.17}$$

Adding this contribution to $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}^{(0)}$ modifies the coefficient of the $\lambda^{3/2}$ -term in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM expansion (5.13), without affecting the leading term proportional to $\mathcal{Y}^2 \lambda$.

This pattern persists also in all other diagrams of (5.1). Indeed, the dominant strong-coupling contributions of these diagrams are the same as in the **E**-theory but they are subleading with respect to those produced by performing the rescaling $\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}$ in previous diagrams. Therefore, if we restrict to just the leading strong-coupling contributions at each order in $1/N$, we can neglect $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}^{(2m)}$ with $m \geq 1$ and retain only the leading terms from $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}^{(0)}$ given in (5.12). In this way, at leading order the result takes the remarkably simple form

$$\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathbf{D}}} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{2 I_1(\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}})}{\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}} + \dots = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{e^{\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}}}{\tilde{\lambda}^{3/4}} \left(1 - \frac{3}{8} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}} + \dots \right) + \dots\tag{5.18}$$

with $\tilde{\lambda}$ given in (4.11). For the conformal **D**-theory this extends the analysis of [20], while for the $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}$ -theory it constitutes a new result, with potential implications for a holographic interpretation.

A few comments are in order. The method we have described allows in principle to compute also the subleading corrections. If $b_0 = 0$ this can be done systematically along the same lines described in section 4.2 for the free energy, yielding a generalization of the preliminary results of [20]. However, we have not discussed these calculations since our main focus, and the main novelty of our analysis, is the expectation value of the Wilson loop at large N and large λ in the non-conformal case. When $b_0 \neq 0$ the subleading contributions are more difficult to organize in a compact form and for this reason we only discussed the leading contributions at each order in the $1/N$ expansion. Finally, from a holographic standpoint, the leading behavior $e^{\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}}$ in (5.18) strongly suggests that in the conformal case the dominant string world-sheet contribution should remain the familiar minimal-area one, as in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, but in a set-up where the string tension is related to $\tilde{\lambda}$ instead of λ .

6 Conclusions and outlook

In this work we have shown that $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SYM theories with two antisymmetric and N_F fundamental hypermultiplets can be efficiently studied using supersymmetric localization, even in the presence of a non-vanishing β -function. The resulting matrix models admit an effective description in terms of gauge-invariant variables whose interactions are controlled by Gaussian multi-trace correlators with a well-defined large- N structure.

Focusing on the free energy and the $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS Wilson loop, we have shown that the leading strong-coupling effects generated by single-trace interactions can be resummed to all orders into a simple redefinition of the 't Hooft coupling, $\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}$. In the non-conformal $\hat{\mathbf{D}}$ -theory this yields new strong-coupling predictions, while in the conformal $\mathbf{D}$ -theory it represents a systematic extension of previous results to subleading orders in $1/N$.

Our analysis in the $\mathbf{D}$ -theory suggests a refined holographic dictionary in which $\tilde{\lambda}$ is related to the effective string tension. Using this dictionary, the strong-coupling expansion of the free energy exhibits the characteristic structure expected from a dual string description. While the leading and subleading terms admit a natural holographic interpretation, the g_s^0 -contributions predicted by localization constitute genuinely new data which would be important to confirm with a direct derivation from the gravity side. Several directions merit further investigation. It would be particularly interesting to extend the strong-coupling expansion to subleading terms in the non-conformal $\hat{\mathbf{D}}$ -theory and clarify the interpretation of the effective coupling $\tilde{\lambda}$ in that context. More generally, our results indicate that localization provides a controlled window into the strong-coupling regime even in non-conformal settings, opening the way to systematic studies of large- N dynamics, resurgent structures, and holographic duals beyond the conformal case.

Finally, we observe that, in close analogy with the $\hat{\mathbf{D}}$ -theory discussed in this paper, the conformal theory with gauge group $\mathrm{Sp}(2N)$, containing one anti-symmetric and four fundamental hypermultiplets, also admits non conformal extensions in which the number of massless fundamental hypermultiplets is reduced below four. Furthermore, as discussed for example in [43, 44], starting from the conformal case one can also trigger renormalization group flows ending in strongly-coupled Argyres-Douglas fixed-points. It would be interesting to explore whether a similar pattern arises also for the $\mathbf{D}$ -theory with group $\mathrm{SU}(N)$.

We plan to return to some of these issues in future work.

Acknowledgments

We sincerely thank Lorenzo De Lillo, Marialuisa Frau, Francesco Galvagno, Grisha Korchemsky and Paolo Vallarino for many fruitful and enlightening discussions throughout the project. We are also grateful to Arkady Tseytlin and Carlos Barredo Martinez for valuable comments and critical remarks which improved the presentation and completeness of the paper. This research is partially supported by the INFN project ST&FI “String Theory & Fundamental Interactions”. The work of A.T. was supported by the French National Agency for Research grant “Observables” (ANR-24-CE31-7996).

A Gaussian correlators

In this section, we summarize a few properties and some new results regarding the connected Gaussian correlators $Q_{i_1, \dots, i_n; j_1, \dots, j_{2m}}^{(n|2m)}$ (2.32). To begin with, we recall that these correlators admit the following topological expansion [28] in the large- N limit

$$Q_{i_1, \dots, i_n; j_1, \dots, j_{2m}}^{(n|2m)} = \frac{\beta_{i_1} \cdots \beta_{i_n} \gamma_{j_1} \cdots \gamma_{j_{2m}}}{N^{n+2m}} \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} N^{2-2g} P_g^{(n|2m)}(i_1, \dots, i_n; j_1, \dots, j_{2m}), \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where the notation was introduced for¹¹

$$\beta_i = \frac{1}{2^{i-1}} \frac{\Gamma(2i)}{\Gamma(i)^2}, \quad \gamma_j = \frac{1}{2^{j+\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(2j+2)}{\Gamma(j+2)\Gamma(j)}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

while $P_g^{(n|2m)}$ are symmetric polynomials in $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ and $\{j_1, \dots, j_{2m}\}$ of degree $n + 2m + 3g - 3$. These polynomials can be conveniently expressed in terms of

$$e_1 = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} i_k, \quad e_2 = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 \leq n} i_{k_1} i_{k_2}, \quad \dots, \quad e_n = i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n, \quad (\text{A.3a})$$

$$o_1 = \sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq 2m} j_\ell, \quad o_2 = \sum_{1 \leq \ell_1 < \ell_2 \leq 2m} j_{\ell_1} j_{\ell_2}, \quad \dots, \quad o_{2m} = j_1 j_2 \cdots j_{2m}. \quad (\text{A.3b})$$

The set $\{e_k\}$, with $k = 1, \dots, n$, forms a basis for the symmetric polynomials constructed with the n indices of the even operators. Similarly, the set $\{o_\ell\}$, with $\ell = 1, \dots, 2m$, is a basis for the symmetric polynomials constructed with the $2m$ indices $j_1, \dots, j_{2m}$ of the odd operators. The explicit form of $P_g^{(n|2m)}$ is very involved and not known in general. However, in some cases explicit formulas exist. For example, one has

$$P_0^{(n|0)} = (e_1 - 1)_{n-3}, \quad (\text{A.4a})$$

$$P_0^{(n|2)} = (e_1 + o_1)_{n-1}, \quad (\text{A.4b})$$

$$P_0^{(n|4)} = (e_1 + o_1)(e_1 + o_1)_n - \sum_{k=1}^n k! e_k (e_1 + o_1 - k)_{n-k}, \quad (\text{A.4c})$$

$$P_1^{(n|0)} = \frac{1}{12} \left[(e_1 - 7)(e_1 - 1)_{n-1} - \sum_{k=2}^n (k-2)! e_k (e_1 - k)_{n-k} \right], \quad (\text{A.4d})$$

where the notation $(x)_k = \frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{\Gamma(x+1-k)}$ stands for the falling factorial. While (A.4a) has been known for a long time [45], the other genus 0 polynomials $P_0^{(n|2m)}$ have been derived only recently [46]. To the best of our knowledge, the genus 1 expression (A.4d) is instead a new result, which we have been able to infer after computing explicitly $P_1^{(n|0)}$ for many values of n using the fusion/fission identities of $\text{SU}(N)$. With this method it is not difficult to generate also polynomials with higher values of g , m and n case by case, but it is not easy to obtain a simple closed-form expression. Luckily, for the analysis of the first few orders of the large- N expansion only a few of these higher-order polynomials are needed to reconstruct the Gaussian correlators and interaction vertices, and thus it will be enough to have their specific expressions without knowing the general formula.

¹¹Our definitions (A.2) differ by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$ with respect to those in (3.3) of [28].

A useful relation. The operator $\mathcal{O}_2 = \text{tr} \frac{a^2}{N}$ has a special status since it is proportional to the Gaussian measure. Consequently, the insertion of $\mathcal{O}_2$ in a connected correlator can be simplified as follows. Let $\mathcal{O}_\Delta$ be a product of operators with total dimension Δ . Then

$$\langle \underbrace{\mathcal{O}_2 \dots \mathcal{O}_2}_n \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle_0^c = \frac{1}{N^n} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta/2 + n)}{\Gamma(\Delta/2)} \langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle_0^c. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

A particular case of this relation, which will be crucial in the following, is

$$Q_{1, \dots, 1, i_1, \dots, i_q; j_1, \dots, j_{2m}}^{(n+q|2m)} = \frac{1}{N^n} \frac{\Gamma\left(\sum(j_k + \frac{1}{2}) + \sum i_l + n\right)}{\Gamma\left(\sum(j_k + \frac{1}{2}) + \sum i_l\right)} Q_{i_1, \dots, i_q; j_1, \dots, j_{2m}}^{(q|2m)}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Inserting here the expansion (A.1) and taking into account that $\beta_1 = 1$ we deduce that

$$P_g^{(n+q|2m)}(1, \dots, 1, i_1, \dots, i_q; j_1, \dots, j_{2m}) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\sum(j_k + \frac{1}{2}) + \sum i_l + n\right)}{\Gamma\left(\sum(j_k + \frac{1}{2}) + \sum i_l\right)} \times P_g^{(q|2m)}(i_1, \dots, i_q; j_1, \dots, j_{2m}). \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Thus all even indices equal to 1 can be removed at the price of multiplying by a ratio of Γ functions, and this is essential for our manipulations at strong coupling.

B Strong-coupling expansions

In this appendix, we analyze the strong-coupling behavior of different quantities entering the calculations of the free energy and the Wilson loop expectation value in the $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}$ -theory.

B.1 Derivation of (4.5)

In this subsection, we turn our attention to the large- λ behavior (4.5) of the functions $\mathcal{Y}_a$ (3.10). For later convenience, we recall that

$$\mathcal{Y}_a = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(m+1)^a}{\Gamma(m+2)^2} \Gamma(2m+2) \zeta(2m+1) (-1)^m \left(\frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2} \right)^{m+1} (4^{m+1} - 4 + b_0), \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where b_0 is given by (2.17). To proceed with the calculation, we observe that (B.1) is given by products of meromorphic functions that admit well-defined analytic continuations in the complex plane. As a result, we can express $\mathcal{Y}_a$ in terms of Mellin-Barnes integrals, which allows us to extract the large- λ behavior. To do so, we express $\mathcal{Y}_a$ as

$$\mathcal{Y}_a = \mathcal{Y}_a^{(1)} + b_0 \mathcal{Y}_a^{(2)}. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

In particular, $\mathcal{Y}_a^{(1)}$ is independent of the coefficient b_0 and takes the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_a^{(1)} &= 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(m+1)^a}{\Gamma(m+2)^2} \Gamma(2m+2) \zeta(2m+1) (-1)^m \left(\frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2} \right)^{m+1} (4^{m+1} - 4) \\ &= 2 \int_{\delta-i\infty}^{\delta+i\infty} \frac{ds}{2\pi i} \frac{\Gamma(-s) \Gamma(2s+2) (s+1)^{a-1}}{\Gamma(s+2)} \left(\frac{\lambda}{4\pi^2} \right)^{s+1} \eta_{2s+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

where the integration contour runs parallel to the imaginary axis with $\delta \in (0, 1)$, while $\eta(s)$ is the Dirichlet η -function. Closing the contour to the right, we reproduce the perturbative expansion in the first line of (B.3). Conversely, closing the contour to the left, we obtain

$$\mathcal{Y}_a^{(1)} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -\frac{\lambda \log 2}{2\pi^2} + \frac{1}{4}\delta_{a,1}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

The previous expression holds up to exponentially suppressed terms.

The second contribution in (B.2) is proportional to b_0 and consequently, it is a specific feature of theories with non-vanishing β -function. Going through the calculation, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_a^{(2)} &= 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (m+1)^a \frac{\Gamma(2m+2)}{\Gamma^2(m+2)} \zeta(2m+1) (-1)^m \left(\frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2} \right)^{m+1} \\ &= 2 \int_{\delta-i\infty}^{\delta+i\infty} \frac{ds}{2\pi i} \frac{\Gamma(-s)\Gamma(2s+2)(s+1)^{a-2}}{\Gamma(s+1)} \zeta(2s+1) \left(\frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2} \right)^{s+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

where the integration contour runs again parallel to imaginary axis with $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Closing the contour to the left we find, up to exponentially small corrections, the following result

$$\mathcal{Y}_a^{(2)} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -\frac{\lambda \log \lambda}{16\pi^2} - \frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2} \left(2\gamma_E - \log 16\pi^2 + a \right) - \frac{1}{12}\delta_{a,1}. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Combining together (B.4) and (B.6), we find, up to exponentially suppressed corrections, the following result

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_a \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} & -b_0 \frac{\lambda \log \lambda}{16\pi^2} - \frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2} \left(b_0 \left(2\gamma_E - \log 16\pi^2 + a \right) + \log 16 \right) + \delta_{a,1} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{b_0}{12} \right) \\ & \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -\mathcal{Y} + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

where to obtain the second line we used the definition of $\mathcal{Y}$ (4.4).

B.2 Derivation of (4.6)

In this subsection, we use the results obtained in appendix B.1 to derive the large- λ behavior (4.25) of the functions q_ℓ . According to (3.7), we can write

$$q_\ell = - \sum_{g=0}^{\lfloor \frac{\ell-1}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{(\ell-2g)!} \prod_{q=1}^{\ell-2g} (\beta_{i_q} J_{i_q}) P_g^{(\ell-2g|0)}(i_1, \dots, i_{\ell-2g}) \equiv \sum_{g=0}^{\lfloor \frac{\ell-1}{2} \rfloor} q_{g,\ell}, \quad (\text{B.8})$$

where implicit summations over the indices $i_1, \dots$ from 2 to ∞ are understood and we recall that $P_g^{(\ell-2g|0)}$ is a symmetric polynomial of degree $\ell + g - 3$ in the variables $i_1, \dots, i_{\ell-2g}$. The structure of (B.8) implies that each term $q_{g,\ell}$ of the sum in (B.8) can be expressed as a *homogeneous polynomial* in the variables $\mathcal{Y}_a$ (B.1) of degree $\ell - 2g$. For instance, using (A.4a) and (A.4d), we find the following explicit results

$$q_{0,\ell} = -\frac{1}{\ell!} \prod_{q=1}^{\ell} (\beta_{i_q} J_{i_q}) (e_1 - 1)_{\ell-3}, \quad (\text{B.9a})$$

$$q_{1,\ell} = -\frac{1}{(\ell-2)!} \prod_{q=1}^{\ell-2} (\beta_{i_q} J_{i_q}) \frac{1}{12} \left[(e_1 - 7) (e_1 - 1)_{\ell-3} - \sum_{k=2}^{\ell-2} (k-2)! e_k (e_1 - k)_{\ell-2-k} \right], \quad (\text{B.9b})$$

where we recall that e_1 is defined in (A.3), $(x)_k = \frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{\Gamma(x+1-k)}$ denotes the falling factorial. Using these results, it is straightforward to see that both (B.9a) and (B.9b) can be expressed in terms of the functions $\mathcal{Y}_a(\lambda)$ introduced in (B.1). Our next task is to study the large- λ behavior of these quantities.

Dominant contributions at large λ . We begin by recalling that the leading contribution to the strong-coupling expansion (B.7) of the functions $\mathcal{Y}_a$ is independent of a . As a result, the dominant contribution at large- λ to both (B.9a) and (B.9b) can be derived by setting $i_1 = \dots = i_\ell = 1$. For instance, it is straightforward to show that

$$\begin{aligned} q_{0,\ell} &\underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -\frac{1}{\ell!} \prod_{q=1}^{\ell} (\beta_{i_q} J_{i_q}) (\ell-1)_{\ell-3} \\ &\underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -\frac{1}{\ell!} \prod_{q=1}^{\ell} (\beta_{i_q} J_{i_q}) \frac{\Gamma(\ell)}{2} \\ &\underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -\frac{1}{2\ell} (-1)^\ell \mathcal{Y}^\ell, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.10})$$

where to obtain the last line we employed (B.7).

By similar manipulations, we also find that

$$\begin{aligned} q_{1,\ell} &\underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -\frac{1}{(\ell-2)!} \prod_{q=1}^{\ell-2} \frac{(\beta_{i_q} J_{i_q})}{12} \left[(\ell-9) (\ell-3)_{\ell-3} - \sum_{k=2}^{\ell-2} (k-2)! \binom{\ell-2}{k} (\ell-2-k)_{\ell-2-k} \right] \\ &\underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -\frac{(-1)^\ell \mathcal{Y}^{\ell-2}}{12(\ell-2)!} \left[(\ell-9) \Gamma(\ell-2) - \Gamma(\ell-2)(\ell-3) \right] = \frac{(-1)^\ell \mathcal{Y}^{\ell-2}}{2(\ell-2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

where to obtain the second line we used again (B.7). As expected (B.11) scales as $\mathcal{Y}^{\ell-2}$ and consequently, it is subleading compared to (B.10). Therefore, we can conclude that

$$q_\ell \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} q_{0,\ell} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -\frac{1}{2\ell} (-1)^\ell \mathcal{Y}^\ell. \quad (\text{B.12})$$

The previous expression coincides with (4.6) and holds in conformal ($b_0 = 0$) and non-conformal ($b_0 \neq 0$) models.

Subleading corrections at large λ . Going beyond the approximation (B.12) requires to include subleading corrections to (B.10). These arise from the corrections of order λ in the strong-coupling expansion of the $\mathcal{Y}_a$ functions defined in (B.7). When $b_0 \neq 0$, these terms depend on a and therefore, the argument we exploited to derive (B.10) and (B.11) is invalidated. However, a remarkable simplification occurs when we consider superconformal models. The reason is that only the function $\mathcal{Y}_1$ develops a subleading a -dependent term. For later convenience, we rewrite (B.4) as follows

$$\mathcal{Y}_k \sim -\mathcal{Y} + \nu \delta_{k,1}, \quad (\text{B.13})$$

where $\nu = \frac{1}{4}$, while in the conformal case the quantity $\mathcal{Y}$ is explicitly given by $\mathcal{Y} = \frac{\lambda \log 2}{2\pi^2}$.

Since the subleading contributions in the large- λ limit of the functions q_1 and q_2 were derived in section 4 (see in particular (4.1), (4.2) and (4.25a)), we can focus on q_ℓ with

$\ell \geq 3$. The subleading contributions arising from $q_{1,\ell}$ were derived in (B.11), while those emerging from $q_{0,\ell}$ (B.9a) have to be determined. To do so, we begin by observing that (B.9a) can be rewritten as follows

$$\begin{aligned} q_{0,\ell} &= -\frac{1}{\ell!} \prod_{q=1}^{\ell} (\beta_{i_q} J_{i_q}) \sum_{p=1}^{\ell-3} s(\ell-3, p) (e_1 - 1)^p \\ &= -\frac{1}{\ell!} \sum_{p=1}^{\ell-3} s(\ell-3, p) \sum_{r=0}^p (-1)^r \binom{p}{r} (i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_{\ell})^r \prod_{q=1}^{\ell} (\beta_{i_q} J_{i_q}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.14})$$

In the first line of (B.14) we expressed the falling factorial $(x)_k$ in terms of the Stirling numbers of first kind $s(k, p)$,¹² using

$$(x)_k = \sum_{p=1}^k s(k, p) x^p. \quad (\text{B.15})$$

To proceed with the calculation, we consider the multinomial expansion

$$e_1^r = (i_1 + \dots + i_{\ell})^r = \sum_{\vec{s}, |\vec{s}|=r} \frac{r!}{s_1! \dots s_{\ell}!} i_1^{s_1} \dots i_{\ell}^{s_{\ell}}, \quad (\text{B.16})$$

where $\vec{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_{\ell})$ is a vector of non-negative integers. Requiring that $|\vec{s}| = \sum_i s_i = r$ implies that at most r of its entries are non-zero. Since e_1^r is inserted inside a sum over all its indices, we can assume that $\vec{s}$ takes the specific form $\vec{s} = (\vec{t}, \vec{0})$, where $\vec{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_r)$, with $t_1 \geq t_2 \geq \dots \geq t_r$, describes a Young diagram $Y_{\vec{t}}$ of the permutation group S_r .

We must then count the number of vectors $\vec{s}$ which are equivalent to this choice under permutations of the ℓ indices. This number is given by the order $\ell!$ of the permutation group S_{ℓ} divided by the order $C_{\vec{t}}$ of the stability subgroup of the chosen configuration. The latter can be expressed in terms of the numbers u_k of entries of $\vec{t}$ which are equal to k , with $k = 1, \dots, r$. These can be explicitly determined as

$$u_k = \sum_{i=1}^r \delta_{t_i, k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, r. \quad (\text{B.17})$$

We also introduce

$$u_0 = \ell - \sum_{k=1}^r u_k, \quad (\text{B.18})$$

which is the number of zero entries in $\vec{t}$. In terms of these quantities,

$$C_{\vec{t}} = \prod_{k=0}^r (u_k)!. \quad (\text{B.19})$$

Combining everything together, we find that

$$\sum_{\{i_1, \dots, i_{\ell}\}} (i_1 + \dots + i_{\ell})^r \prod_{q=1}^{\ell} (\beta_{i_q} J_{i_q}) = \sum_{Y_{\vec{t}} \in S_r} \frac{\ell! \mathcal{Y}_1^{u_0}}{(u_0)!} \prod_{k=1}^r \frac{r! \mathcal{Y}_{k+1}^{u_k}}{(t_k)!(u_k)!}, \quad (\text{B.20})$$

¹²The Stirling numbers of the first kind count the number of permutations of k elements which have exactly p cycles.

where we recall that $\mathcal{Y}_a$ is defined in (B.1). The previous expression is valid for arbitrary λ . This means that it also holds when $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. In this case, as observed before (B.10), we can set all the indices $i_1, \dots$ to 1. This implies that $e_1 = \ell$ and (B.20) reduces to

$$\ell^r (-\mathcal{Y})^\ell = \sum_{Y_{\vec{t}} \in S_r} \frac{\ell! r!}{(u_0)! \prod_{k=1}^r (t_k)! (u_k)!} (-\mathcal{Y})^{u_0 + \sum_{k=1}^r u_k} = \sum_{Y_{\vec{t}} \in S_r} \frac{\ell! r!}{(u_0)! \prod_{k=1}^r (t_k)! (u_k)!} (-\mathcal{Y})^\ell, \quad (\text{B.21})$$

where in the last step we used (B.18). This gives us the identity

$$\sum_{Y_{\vec{t}} \in S_r} \frac{\ell! r!}{(u_0)! \prod_{k=1}^r (t_k)! (u_k)!} = \ell^r. \quad (\text{B.22})$$

Substituting (B.13) into (B.20), it is straightforward to show that

$$\begin{aligned} e_1^r \prod_{q=1}^{\ell} (\beta_{i_q} J_{i_q}) &= \sum_{Y_{\vec{t}} \in S_r} \frac{\ell! r!}{(u_0)!} (-\mathcal{Y} + \nu)^{u_0} \prod_{k=1}^r \frac{(-\mathcal{Y})^{u_k}}{(t_k)! (u_k)!} \\ &= \sum_{Y_{\vec{t}} \in S_r} \frac{\ell! r!}{(u_0)!} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \binom{u_0}{j} \nu^j (-\mathcal{Y})^{u_0 + \sum_k u_k - j} \prod_{k=1}^r \frac{1}{(t_k)! (u_k)!} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \frac{\nu^j}{j!} (-\mathcal{Y})^{\ell-j} \frac{\ell!}{(\ell-j)!} \sum_{Y_{\vec{t}} \in S_r} \frac{(\ell-j)! r!}{(u_0-j)!} \prod_{k=1}^r \frac{1}{(t_k)! (u_k)!}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.23})$$

where we took into account (B.18). The sum over the tableaux can be done using the identity (B.22) with ℓ replaced by $\ell - j$. This leads to

$$e_1^r \prod_{q=1}^{\ell} (\beta_{i_q} J_{i_q}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \frac{\nu^j}{j!} (-\mathcal{Y})^{\ell-j} \frac{\ell!}{(\ell-j)!} (\ell-j)^r. \quad (\text{B.24})$$

Inserting the previous expression into (B.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} q_{0,\ell} &= - \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \frac{\nu^j}{j!} (-\mathcal{Y})^{\ell-j} \sum_{p=1}^{\ell-3} s(\ell-3, p) \sum_{r=0}^p (-1)^r \binom{p}{r} (\ell-j)^r \\ &= - \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \frac{\nu^j}{j!} \frac{(-\mathcal{Y})^{\ell-j}}{\ell-j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(3-j)}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.25})$$

Note that on the right-hand side only the terms $j = 0, 1, 2$ contribute. Altogether in the large- λ regime, up to constants and exponentially suppressed terms, we find that

$$q_{0,\ell} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -(-1)^\ell \frac{\mathcal{Y}^\ell}{2\ell} + (-1)^\ell \frac{\mathcal{Y}^{\ell-1}}{4(\ell-1)} - (-1)^\ell \frac{\mathcal{Y}^{\ell-2}}{32(\ell-2)}, \quad (\text{B.26})$$

where we used the fact that $\nu = 1/4$. The previous expression holds for $\ell \geq 3$. Finally, we combine the previous expression with (B.11) and we obtain that for $\ell \geq 3$

$$\begin{aligned} q_\ell &\underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} q_{0,\ell} + q_{1,\ell} + \dots \\ &\underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -(-1)^\ell \frac{\mathcal{Y}^\ell}{2\ell} + (-1)^\ell \frac{\mathcal{Y}^{\ell-1}}{4(\ell-1)} - (-1)^\ell \frac{\mathcal{Y}^{\ell-2}}{32(\ell-2)} + \frac{(-1)^\ell}{(\ell-2)} \mathcal{Y}^{\ell-2} \frac{1}{2} \\ &\underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -(-1)^\ell \frac{\mathcal{Y}^\ell}{2\ell} + (-1)^\ell \frac{\mathcal{Y}^{\ell-1}}{4(\ell-1)} + (-1)^\ell \frac{15 \mathcal{Y}^{\ell-2}}{32(\ell-2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.27})$$

The previous expression coincides with (4.25b). There could be further terms of order $\mathcal{Y}^{\ell-2g}$ with $g \geq 2$ which we are not able to discuss in a closed form because we lack the explicit expression of the polynomials $P_g^{(\ell-2g|0)}$ of higher genera. We can, however, easily compute the explicit expressions of specific connected correlators and we checked in many examples that such potential corrections to q_ℓ always vanish.

B.3 Derivation of (4.12)

The argument we exploited to derive (B.10) applies also to $\hat{Q}^{(2m)}$ and $\hat{R}^{(2m)}$.

Let us begin by considering $\hat{Q}^{(2m)}$. From the definition (2.34), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Q}_{j_1, \dots, j_{2m}}^{(2m)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} J_{i_1} \dots J_{i_n} Q_{i_1 \dots i_n; j_1 \dots j_{2m}}^{(n|2m)} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} J_{i_1} \dots J_{i_n} \frac{\beta_{i_1} \dots \beta_{i_n} \gamma_{j_1} \dots \gamma_{j_{2m}}}{N^{n+2m}} \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} N^{2-2g} P_g^{(n|2m)}(i_1, \dots, i_n; j_1, \dots, j_{2m})\end{aligned}\quad (\text{B.28})$$

where to obtain the second line we replaced the connected Gaussian correlators $Q^{(n|2m)}$ with their topological expansion (A.1) in the large- N limit. As a result, $\hat{Q}^{(2m)}$ can be expressed in terms of the function $\mathcal{Y}_a$ (B.1) whose large- λ limit is independent of a . Therefore, we can follow the argument we employed to derive (B.10) and set the indices $i_1, \dots, i_n$ to 1 in the argument of the symmetric polynomials $P_g^{(n|2m)}(i_1, \dots, i_n; j_1, \dots, j_{2m})$. In this way we remain with

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Q}_{j_1, \dots, j_{2m}}^{(2m)} &\underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{q=1}^n \beta_{i_q} J_{i_q} \frac{1}{N^{2m}} \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} N^{2-2g} P_g^{(n|2m)}(1, \dots, 1; j_1, \dots, j_{2m}) \gamma_{j_1} \dots \gamma_{j_{2m}} \\ &\underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{(-\mathcal{Y})^n}{N^{n+2m}} \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} N^{2-2g} P_g^{(n|2m)}(1, \dots, 1; j_1, \dots, j_{2m}) \gamma_{j_1} \dots \gamma_{j_{2m}}\end{aligned}\quad (\text{B.29})$$

where to obtain the second line we took into account the large- λ behavior (B.7). Finally, we apply (A.7) with $q = 0$ and we find that¹³

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Q}_{j_1, \dots, j_{2m}}^{(2m)} &\underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{\Gamma(\sum(j_k + \frac{1}{2}) + n)}{\Gamma(\sum(j_k + \frac{1}{2}))} \frac{(-\mathcal{Y})^n}{N^{n+2m}} \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} N^{2-2g} P_g^{(0|2m)}(j_1, \dots, j_{2m}) \gamma_{j_1} \dots \gamma_{j_{2m}} \\ &\underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{Y}}{N}\right)^{\sum(j_k + \frac{1}{2})}} Q_{j_1, \dots, j_{2m}}^{(0|2m)},\end{aligned}\quad (\text{B.30})$$

where to obtain the final equality we recognized the topological expansion of the Gaussian correlator $Q^{(0|2m)}$ by applying (A.1) with $n = 0$. Note that (B.30) coincides with (4.12).

¹³To perform the sum over n in (B.30), we exploited

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{\Gamma(M+n)}{\Gamma(M)} x^n = \frac{1}{(1-x)^M}.$$

The analysis of the quantities $\hat{R}^{(2m)}$ goes along the same lines as their structure closely resembles that of (B.28). Indeed, starting from their definition (3.22), we find

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{R}_{i;j_1\dots j_{2m}}^{(2m)} &= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} J_{k_1} \dots J_{k_p} Q_{i,k_1,\dots,k_p;j_1,\dots,j_{2m}}^{(p+1|2m)} \\ &= \beta_i \sum_{g,p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} J_{k_1} \dots J_{k_p} \frac{\beta_{k_1} \dots \beta_{k_p} \gamma_{j_1} \dots \gamma_{j_{2m}}}{N^{p+1+2m+2g-2}} P_g^{(p+1|2m)}(i, k_1, \dots, k_p; j_1, \dots, j_{2m})\end{aligned}\tag{B.31}$$

where to obtain the second line we replaced the connected Gaussian correlators $Q^{(p+1|2m)}$ with their topological expansion (A.1). Following the same approach that was used to derive (B.30), we finally obtain

$$\hat{R}_{i;j_1,\dots,j_{2m}}^{(2m)} \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{N}\right)^{\sum (j_k + \frac{1}{2}) + i}} Q_{i;j_1,\dots,j_{2m}}^{(1|2m)}.\tag{B.32}$$

Data Availability Statement. This article has no associated data or the data will not be deposited.

Code Availability Statement. This article has no associated code or the code will not be deposited.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] O. Aharony et al., *Large N field theories, string theory and gravity*, *Phys. Rept.* **323** (2000) 183 [[hep-th/9905111](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] V. Pestun et al., *Localization techniques in quantum field theories*, *J. Phys. A* **50** (2017) 440301 [[arXiv:1608.02952](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] D.J. Binder, S.M. Chester, S.S. Pufu and Y. Wang, *$\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super-Yang-Mills correlators at strong coupling from string theory and localization*, *JHEP* **12** (2019) 119 [[arXiv:1902.06263](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] J.M. Maldacena, *The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 231 [[hep-th/9711200](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] V. Pestun, *Localization of gauge theory on a four-sphere and supersymmetric Wilson loops*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **313** (2012) 71 [[arXiv:0712.2824](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] A.V. Belitsky and G.P. Korchemsky, *Circular Wilson loop in $N = 2^*$ super Yang-Mills theory at two loops and localization*, *JHEP* **04** (2021) 089 [[arXiv:2003.10448](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] M. Billò, L. Griguolo and A. Testa, *Remarks on BPS Wilson loops in non-conformal $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories and localization*, *JHEP* **01** (2024) 160 [[arXiv:2311.17692](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] M. Billò, L. Griguolo and A. Testa, *Supersymmetric Localization and Nonconformal $N = 2$ Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theories in the Perturbative Regime*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **134** (2025) 071601 [[arXiv:2407.11222](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [9] M. Billò, L. Griguolo and A. Testa, *1/2 BPS Wilson loops in non-conformal $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories and localization: a three-loop analysis*, *JHEP* **02** (2025) 076 [[arXiv:2410.14847](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] M. Billò et al., *Two-point correlators in non-conformal $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories*, *JHEP* **05** (2019) 199 [[arXiv:1901.09693](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] M. Billò, L. Griguolo, A. Lerda and A. Testa, *Correlators in non-conformal $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories from localization*, *JHEP* **07** (2025) 282 [[arXiv:2505.03940](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] J.G. Russo and K. Zarembo, *Large N Limit of $N = 2$ $SU(N)$ Gauge Theories from Localization*, *JHEP* **10** (2012) 082 [[arXiv:1207.3806](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] M. Beccaria et al., *$\mathcal{N} = 2$ Conformal SYM theories at large $\mathcal{N}$* , *JHEP* **09** (2020) 116 [[arXiv:2007.02840](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] A. Fayyazuddin and M. Spalinski, *Large N superconformal gauge theories and supergravity orientifolds*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **535** (1998) 219 [[hep-th/9805096](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] O. Aharony, A. Fayyazuddin and J.M. Maldacena, *The large N limit of $N = 2$, $N = 1$ field theories from three-branes in F theory*, *JHEP* **07** (1998) 013 [[hep-th/9806159](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] J. Park and A.M. Uranga, *A note on superconformal $N = 2$ theories and orientifolds*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **542** (1999) 139 [[hep-th/9808161](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] M. Billò et al., *Stringy instanton corrections to $N = 2$ gauge couplings*, *JHEP* **05** (2010) 107 [[arXiv:1002.4322](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] M. Billò, F. Galvagno and A. Lerda, *BPS wilson loops in generic conformal $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $SU(N)$ SYM theories*, *JHEP* **08** (2019) 108 [[arXiv:1906.07085](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] I.P. Ennes, C. Lozano, S.G. Naculich and H.J. Schnitzer, *Elliptic models, type IIB orientifolds and the AdS/CFT correspondence*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **591** (2000) 195 [[hep-th/0006140](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] M. Beccaria, G.V. Dunne and A.A. Tseytlin, *Strong coupling expansion of free energy and BPS Wilson loop in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal models with fundamental hypermultiplets*, *JHEP* **08** (2021) 102 [[arXiv:2105.14729](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] M. Beccaria, G.V. Dunne and A.A. Tseytlin, *BPS Wilson loop in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal $SU(N)$ “orientifold” gauge theory and weak-strong coupling interpolation*, *JHEP* **07** (2021) 085 [[arXiv:2104.12625](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] M. Beccaria et al., *Exact results in a $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal gauge theory at strong coupling*, *JHEP* **07** (2021) 185 [[arXiv:2105.15113](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] M. Billò et al., *Three-point functions in a $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal gauge theory and their strong-coupling limit*, *JHEP* **08** (2022) 199 [[arXiv:2202.06990](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] M. Billò et al., *Structure Constants in $N = 2$ Superconformal Quiver Theories at Strong Coupling and Holography*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129** (2022) 031602 [[arXiv:2206.13582](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] M. Billò et al., *Localization vs holography in 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ quiver theories*, *JHEP* **10** (2022) 020 [[arXiv:2207.08846](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] M. Beccaria, G.P. Korchemsky and A.A. Tseytlin, *Strong coupling expansion in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal theories and the Bessel kernel*, *JHEP* **09** (2022) 226 [[arXiv:2207.11475](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] M. Billò, M. Frau, A. Lerda and A. Pini, *A matrix-model approach to integrated correlators in a $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SYM theory*, *JHEP* **01** (2024) 154 [[arXiv:2311.17178](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [28] M. Beccaria, G.P. Korchemsky and A.A. Tseytlin, *Non-planar corrections in orbifold/orientifold $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal theories from localization*, *JHEP* **05** (2023) 165 [[arXiv:2303.16305](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] M. Beccaria, G.P. Korchemsky and A.A. Tseytlin, *Exact strong coupling results in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $Sp(2N)$ superconformal gauge theory from localization*, *JHEP* **01** (2023) 037 [[arXiv:2210.13871](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] S.M. Chester, P. Ferrero and D.R. Pavarini, *Modular invariant gluon-graviton scattering in AdS at one loop*, *JHEP* **08** (2025) 208 [[arXiv:2504.10319](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] L. De Lillo et al., *$\mathcal{N} = 2$ universality at strong coupling*, *JHEP* **02** (2026) 019 [[arXiv:2510.27594](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] L. De Lillo and P. Vallarino, *Bremsstrahlung function in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs far beyond the supergravity limit*, to appear (2026).
- [33] M. Blau, K.S. Narain and E. Gava, *On subleading contributions to the AdS/CFT trace anomaly*, *JHEP* **09** (1999) 018 [[hep-th/9904179](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [34] M. Billò et al., *Integrated correlators in a $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SYM theory with fundamental flavors: a matrix-model perspective*, *JHEP* **11** (2024) 172 [[arXiv:2407.03509](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [35] C. Behan, S.M. Chester and P. Ferrero, *Gluon scattering in AdS at finite string coupling from localization*, *JHEP* **02** (2024) 042 [[arXiv:2305.01016](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [36] S.A. Kurlyand and A.A. Tseytlin, *Type IIB supergravity action on $M^5 \times X^5$ solutions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **106** (2022) 086017 [[arXiv:2206.14522](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [37] C.P. Bachas, P. Bain and M.B. Green, *Curvature terms in D-brane actions and their M theory origin*, *JHEP* **05** (1999) 011 [[hep-th/9903210](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [38] Z. Guralnik, S. Kovacs and B. Kulik, *Holography and the Higgs branch of $N = 2$ SYM theories*, *JHEP* **03** (2005) 063 [[hep-th/0405127](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [39] M. Billò et al., *Two-point correlators in $N = 2$ gauge theories*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **926** (2018) 427 [[arXiv:1705.02909](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [40] L. De Lillo and A. Pini, *Integrated correlators of coincident Wilson lines in $SU(N)$ gauge theories at strong coupling*, *JHEP* **10** (2025) 089 [[arXiv:2506.16905](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [41] J.K. Erickson, G.W. Semenoff and K. Zarembo, *Wilson loops in $N = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **582** (2000) 155 [[hep-th/0003055](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [42] N. Drukker and D.J. Gross, *An exact prediction of $N = 4$ SUSYM theory for string theory*, *J. Math. Phys.* **42** (2001) 2896 [[hep-th/0010274](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [43] F. Fucito, A. Grassi, J.F. Morales and R. Savelli, *Partition functions of non-Lagrangian theories from the holomorphic anomaly*, *JHEP* **07** (2023) 195 [[arXiv:2306.05141](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [44] C. Behan, S.M. Chester and P. Ferrero, *Towards bootstrapping F-theory*, *JHEP* **10** (2024) 161 [[arXiv:2403.17049](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [45] W.T. Tutte, *A Census of Slicings*, *Can. J. Math.* **14** (1962) 708.
- [46] J. Bouttier, E. Guitter and G. Miermont, *On quasi-polynomials counting planar tight maps*, *Combin. Theor.* **4** (2024) 12.